

Square integrable projective representations and square integrable representations modulo a relatively central subgroup (I): basic results

Paolo Aniello

Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy

and

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli, Italy

1st February 2008

Abstract

We introduce the notion of square integrable group representation modulo a relatively central subgroup and, establishing a link with square integrable projective representations, we prove a generalization of a classical theorem of Duflo and Moore. As an example, we apply the results obtained to the Weyl-Heisenberg group.

1 Introduction

Square integrable representations of locally compact groups have important applications in many fields of physics (generalized coherent states, quantization, quantum measurement theory, signal analysis etc.; see the review paper [1], the recent book [2] and the rich bibliography therein) and mathematics (the theory of Plancherel measure for locally compact groups [3], wavelet analysis [4], its generalization and the theory of localization operators [5] etc.).

The fundamental properties of these representations have been studied originally by Godement, in the case of unimodular groups [6] [7], and by Duflo and Moore [3], Phillips [8], Carey [9], Grossmann et al. [10], in the general case. The notion of square integrable representation, modulo a central subgroup, of a unimodular group has been studied by A. Borel [11].

In the present paper, we introduce the notion of square-integrability, modulo a relatively central subgroup, of a representation, which extends the notion of square-integrability modulo a central subgroup, thus, in particular, the simple square-integrability. Then, we show that the square-integrability of a representation of a locally compact group G , modulo a relatively central subgroup K (which is a normal subgroup of G), is equivalent to the square-integrability of a projective representation of the quotient group $X = G/K$, hence, to the square-integrability of a unitary representation of a central extension of the circle group $\mathbb{T}$ by X . This procedure allows to prove a generalization of the already cited classical result of Duflo and Moore. In the meantime, it is *operative*, in the sense that it can be directly applied to concrete cases, as we show for the representations of the Weyl-Heisenberg group. This example is remarkable since it is related to the classical coherent states of Schrödinger [13], Glauber [14], Klauder [15] and Sudarshan [16]. More examples and applications will be given in a companion paper [17].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the main properties of square integrable unitary representations, in particular the classical theorem of Duflo and Moore. In section 3, we introduce the notion of square integrable projective representation and prove the, so to say, ‘Duflo-Moore theorem for projective representations’. Next, in section 4, we define the notion of square integrable representation modulo a relatively central subgroup and, using the results of sections 2 and 3, we prove a generalization of the theorem of Duflo and Moore and other basic results. Then, in section 5, we study the intertwining properties associated with square integrable representations modulo a relatively central subgroup. Eventually, in section 6, we discuss the main results obtained and we apply them to the representations of the Weyl-Heisenberg group and to another interesting example.

2 Square integrable unitary representations

Let G be a locally compact second countable Hausdorff topological group (in short, l.c.s.c. group). We will denote by μ_G a *left Haar measure* (of course uniquely defined up to multiplication by a positive constant¹) on G and by Δ_G the modular function on G . We recall that the *left regular representation* R of G in $L^2(G, \mu_G)$ is the strongly continuous unitary representation defined by

$$(R_g f)(g') = f(g^{-1}g'), \quad g, g' \in G, \quad (1)$$

¹In order to stress the essential unicity of the Haar measure, we will often call a particular choice of this measure a *normalization* of the Haar measure.

for all $f \in L^2(G, \mu_G)$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable complex Hilbert space. We will denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the inner product in $\mathcal{H}$, which we will assume to be linear in the *second* argument, and by $\| \cdot \|$ the associated norm. We will say that a linear operator C from $\mathcal{H}$ into a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}'$ is *essentially isometric* if it is a multiple of an isometry, i.e. if there exists an isometry $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ such that $C = \lambda \mathcal{J}$, with $\lambda > 0$.

Let U be a strongly continuous irreducible unitary representation of G in $\mathcal{H}$. Given a couple of vectors $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$, we can define the ‘coefficient’

$$c_{\psi, \phi}^U : G \ni g \mapsto \langle U(g)\psi, \phi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (2)$$

which is a bounded continuous function, and the set (of ‘admissible vectors for U ’)

$$\mathcal{A}(U) := \{ \psi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \exists \phi \in \mathcal{H} : \phi \neq 0, c_{\psi, \phi}^U \in L^2(G, \mu_G) \}. \quad (3)$$

Then, the representation U is said to be *square integrable* if

$$\mathcal{A}(U) \neq \{0\}.$$

Since U is irreducible, this condition is equivalent to the existence of a square integrable nonzero coefficient $c_{\psi, \phi}^U$.

Square integrable representations are described by the following classical result due to Duflo and Moore (see [3]).

Theorem 1 *Let the strongly continuous irreducible unitary representation U of the l.c.s.c. group G in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ be square integrable. Then, the set $\mathcal{A}(U)$ is a dense linear manifold in $\mathcal{H}$ and, for any couple of vectors $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{A}(U)$, the coefficient $c_{\psi, \phi}^U$ is square integrable with respect to the left Haar measure μ_G on G . Moreover, for any nonzero $\psi \in \mathcal{A}(U)$, the map*

$$C_\psi^U : \mathcal{H} \ni \phi \mapsto c_{\psi, \phi}^U \in L^2(G, \mu_G) \quad (4)$$

defines a linear operator which is essentially isometric and intertwines U with the left regular representation of G in $L^2(G, \mu_G)$, namely

$$C_\psi^U U(g) = R_g C_\psi^U, \quad \forall g \in G. \quad (5)$$

Finally, there exists a unique positive selfadjoint injective linear operator D_U in $\mathcal{H}$, such that

$$\mathcal{A}(U) = \text{Dom}(D_U)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G c_{\psi_1, \phi_1}^U(g)^* c_{\psi_2, \phi_2}^U(g) d\mu_G(g) &= \int_G \langle \phi_1, U(g) \psi_1 \rangle \langle U(g) \psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle d\mu_G(g) \\ &= \langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \langle D_U \psi_2, D_U \psi_1 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, for all $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{A}(U)$. The operator D_U is bounded if and only if G is unimodular and, in such case, it is a multiple of the identity.

If U is square integrable, the operator D_U of Theorem 1 — which we will call the *Duflo-Moore operator* — being injective and selfadjoint, has a densely defined selfadjoint inverse D_U^{-1} (see, for instance, [18], Theorem 13.11). Duflo and Moore call the square of D_U^{-1} the formal degree of the representation U . Notice that the operator D_U depends on the normalization of the Haar measure μ_G . Indeed, if μ_G is rescaled by a positive constant, then D_U is rescaled by the square root of the same constant. Thus, we will say that D_U is *normalized according to μ_G* .

The theorem of Duflo and Moore has some important implications. Let us list the main ones.

1. The square-integrability of a unitary representation depends only on its unitary equivalence class. According to Theorem 1, if U is square integrable, then it is unitarily equivalent to a subrepresentation U_R of the left regular representation R .
2. Let G be a compact group. Then, any strongly continuous irreducible unitary representation of G is square integrable. This follows from the fact that, in this case, the Haar measure on G is finite. Moreover, in this case G is unimodular so that the Duflo-Moore operators associated with its irreducible unitary representations are simply multiples of the identity. In fact, for a compact group, Theorem 1 reduces to a well known classical result (see, for instance, [19]).
3. If the representation U of G is square integrable, then, according to Theorem 1, for any nonzero admissible vector $\psi \in \mathcal{A}(U)$, one can define the linear operator

$$W_\psi^U : \mathcal{H} \ni \phi \mapsto \|D_U \psi\|^{-1} c_{\psi, \phi}^U \in L^2(G, \mu_G) \quad (7)$$

— sometimes called *generalized wavelet transform* generated by U , with *analyzing vector* ψ — which is an isometry. The ordinary wavelet transform arises as a special case when G is the (1+1)-dimensional affine group, i.e. the semidirect product $\mathbb{R} \times' \mathbb{R}_*^+$ (see [10] and [12]).

4. The range $\mathcal{R}_\psi^U$ of W_ψ^U (or C_ψ^U), which consists of bounded continuous functions, is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (a classical reference on r.k.H.s. is [20]) and the reproducing kernel is given explicitly by:

$$\kappa_\psi^U(g, g') = \|D_U \psi\|^{-2} \langle U(g) \psi, U(g') \psi \rangle, \quad g, g' \in G. \quad (8)$$

Namely, for any function f in $\mathcal{R}_\psi^U$, we have:

$$f(g) = \int_G \kappa_\psi^U(g, g') f(g') d\mu_G(g'), \quad \forall g \in G. \quad (9)$$

This property follows from the ‘orthogonality relation’ (6).

Let us prove an interesting invariance property of the Duflo-Moore operator with respect to the representation U (Duflo and Moore used a similar property of the formal degree operator for proving their classical result).

Proposition 1 *Let U be square integrable. Then, the dense linear manifold $\text{Dom}(D_U) = \mathcal{A}(U)$ is invariant with respect to U and the positive selfadjoint operator D_U is semi-invariant with weight $\Delta_G^{1/2}$, i.e.*

$$U(g) D_U U(g)^{-1} = \Delta_G(g)^{1/2} D_U, \quad \forall g \in G. \quad (10)$$

Proof : The linear manifold $\mathcal{A}(U)$ is invariant with respect to U ; indeed:

$$\int_G |\langle U(g') U(g) \psi, \phi \rangle|^2 d\mu_G(g') = \Delta_G(g)^{-1} \int_G |\langle U(g') \psi, \phi \rangle|^2 d\mu_G(g').$$

Now, let U be square integrable. Then, given $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|\phi\| = 1$, for any $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \text{Dom}(D_U)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_U U(g^{-1}) \psi_2, D_U \psi_1 \rangle &= \int_G \langle \phi, U(g') \psi_1 \rangle \langle U(g' g^{-1}) \psi_2, \phi \rangle d\mu_G(g') \\ &= \Delta_G(g) \int_G \langle \phi, U(g' g) \psi_1 \rangle \langle U(g') \psi_2, \phi \rangle d\mu_G(g') \\ &= \Delta_G(g) \langle D_U \psi_2, D_U U(g) \psi_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since D_U is a densely defined selfadjoint operator, D_U^2 is a densely defined positive selfadjoint operator (whose domain is a core for D_U). If ψ_1 belongs to $\text{Dom}(D_U^2)$, we obtain:

$$\langle \psi_2, U(g) D_U^2 \psi_1 \rangle = \Delta_G(g) \langle D_U \psi_2, D_U U(g) \psi_1 \rangle, \quad \forall \psi_2 \in \text{Dom}(D_U).$$

From this relation, since D_U is selfadjoint, it follows that

$$D_U U(g) \psi_1 \in \text{Dom}(D_U) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \psi_2, U(g) D_U^2 \psi_1 \rangle = \Delta_G(g) \langle \psi_2, D_U^2 U(g) \psi_1 \rangle.$$

Thus, the domain of D_U^2 is invariant with respect to U and, by the arbitrariness of ψ_2 in the dense domain of D_U , we deduce that

$$(U(g) D_U U(g)^{-1}) (U(g) D_U U(g)^{-1}) = U(g) D_U^2 U(g)^{-1} = \Delta_G(g) D_U^2,$$

for all $g \in G$. Eventually, since the square root of a positive selfadjoint operator is unique, D_U is semi-invariant with weight $\Delta_G^{1/2}$ and the proof is complete. ■

In many physical applications, one has to deal with representations that are more general than unitary representations, namely with *projective representations*. Thus, in the next section, we will extend the notion of square-integrability to projective representations. This will also allow us to prove, in section 4, the main results of this paper.

3 Square integrable projective representations

Let P be a *projective representation* of a l.c.s.c. group G in a separable complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ (see, for instance, [21], chapter VII), namely a map of G into $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$, the unitary group of $\mathcal{H}$, such that

- 1) P is a weakly Borel map, i.e. $G \ni g \mapsto \langle \phi, P(g) \psi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ is a Borel function², for any $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$;
- 2) $P(e) = I$, where e is the identity in G and I the identity operator;
- 3) denoted by $\mathbb{T}$ the circle group, namely the group of complex numbers of modulus one, there exists a Borel function $\mathfrak{m} : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$P(gh) = \mathfrak{m}(g, h) P(g) P(h), \quad \forall g, h \in G.$$

The function $\mathfrak{m}$, which is called the *multiplier associated with P* , satisfies the following conditions:

$$\mathfrak{m}(g, e) = \mathfrak{m}(e, g) = 1, \quad \forall g \in G, \tag{11}$$

²The terms *Borel function* (or map) and *Borel measure* will be always used with reference to the natural Borel structures on the topological spaces involved, namely to the smallest σ -algebras containing all open subsets.

and

$$\mathfrak{m}(g_1, g_2 g_3) \mathfrak{m}(g_2, g_3) = \mathfrak{m}(g_1 g_2, g_3) \mathfrak{m}(g_1, g_2), \quad \forall g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G. \quad (12)$$

In general, a Borel map $\mathfrak{m} : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ satisfying the previous conditions is said to be a multiplier for G (if $\mathbb{T}$ is replaced by another abelian group $\mathbb{A}$, $\mathfrak{m}$ is said to be a $\mathbb{A}$ -multiplier). Two multipliers $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}'$ for G are said to be similar if there exists a Borel function $\beta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{m}(g_1, g_2) = \beta(g_1 g_2) \beta(g_1)^{-1} \beta(g_2)^{-1} \mathfrak{m}'(g_1, g_2), \quad \forall g_1, g_2 \in G. \quad (13)$$

Irreducibility for projective representations is defined as for standard representations. Equivalence of projective representations is defined as follows. Let us identify the circle group $\mathbb{T}$ with the set $\{zI \mid z \in \mathbb{T}\} \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ which is the centre of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$. Let us denote by ϖ the canonical projection homomorphism of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ onto $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}) := \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})/\mathbb{T}$, the projective group of $\mathcal{H}$. Then two projective representations P, Q of G are said to be equivalent if there is a projective representation P' of G , unitarily or antiunitarily equivalent to Q , such that

$$\varpi(P(g)) = \varpi(P'(g)), \quad \forall g \in G. \quad (14)$$

This definition of (physical) equivalence is consistent with Wigner's theorem on symmetry transformations [22]. Two projective representations P, P' verifying relation (14) are said to be ray equivalent. Two ray equivalent representations have similar multipliers; conversely, if P is a projective representation of G with multiplier $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m}'$ is a multiplier similar to $\mathfrak{m}$, then there exists a projective representation P' of G , ray equivalent to P , with multiplier $\mathfrak{m}'$.

Now, given the cartesian product $\mathbb{T} \times G$, the composition law

$$(\tau, g)(\tau', h) = (\mathfrak{m}(g, h)\tau\tau', gh) \quad (15)$$

defines a group $G_{\mathfrak{m}}$. It is well known that there exists a unique topology on $\mathbb{T} \times G$ that makes $G_{\mathfrak{m}}$ a l.c.s.c. topological group and generates a Borel structure on $G_{\mathfrak{m}}$ which coincides with the product Borel structure on $\mathbb{T} \times G$. The group $G_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a central extension of $\mathbb{T}$ by G . One can check easily that a left Haar measure on $G_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is given by the product measure $\mu_{\mathbb{T}} \otimes \mu_G$, where $\mu_{\mathbb{T}}$ is the Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}$ (as usual for compact groups, we will assume that $\mu_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{T}) = 1$), and the modular function on $G_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is given by

$$\Delta_{G_{\mathfrak{m}}}(\tau, g) = \Delta_G(g), \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{T}, \forall g \in G; \quad (16)$$

hence, $G_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is unimodular if and only if G is. If $\mathfrak{m}'$ is a multiplier for G similar to $\mathfrak{m}$, then $G_{\mathfrak{m}'}$ is isomorphic, as a topological group, to $G_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

The map

$$U_P : G_{\mathfrak{m}} \ni (\tau, g) \mapsto \tau^{-1} P(g) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) \quad (17)$$

is a unitary representation of $G_{\mathfrak{m}}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ which is weakly Borel, hence, according to a classical result (see, for instance, [21]), strongly continuous. It is trivial to show that U_P is irreducible if and only if P is. One can check that the multiplier $\mathfrak{m}$ defines a projective representation of G in $L^2(G, \mu_G)$, with multiplier $\mathfrak{m}$, by

$$(R_g^{\mathfrak{m}} f)(g') = \mathfrak{m}(g, g^{-1}g')^{-1} f(g^{-1}g'), \quad f \in L^2(G, \mu_G). \quad (18)$$

We will call $R^{\mathfrak{m}}$ the *left regular $\mathfrak{m}$ -representation* of G .

Obviously, given a couple of vectors in $\mathcal{H}$, one can define a coefficient function associated with P precisely in the same way as it has been done for a unitary representation. Then, one can define the set of admissible vectors for P , i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}(P) := \{\psi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \exists \phi \in \mathcal{H} : \phi \neq 0, c_{\psi, \phi}^P \in L^2(G, \mu_G)\}. \quad (19)$$

At this point, if P is irreducible, one says that P is *square integrable* if $\mathcal{A}(P) \neq \{0\}$. Thus, for unitary representations this definition coincides with the one given in section 2. Let us show that square integrable projective representations enjoy properties analogous to that of square integrable unitary representations.

Theorem 2 *Let P be an irreducible projective representation of the l.c.s.c. group G in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ the associated multiplier. Then, P is square integrable if and only if U_P is a square integrable unitary representation of $G_{\mathfrak{m}}$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, any projective representation of G equivalent to P is square integrable if and only if P is.*

Assume that P is square integrable. Then, $\mathcal{A}(P)$ is a dense linear manifold in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{A}(P) = \mathcal{A}(U_P)$. For any $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ and any $\psi \in \mathcal{A}(P)$, the function

$$c_{\psi, \phi}^P : G \ni g \mapsto \langle P_g \psi, \phi \rangle \in \mathbb{C} \quad (20)$$

is square integrable with respect to the left Haar measure μ_G on G and, if $\psi \neq 0$, the map

$$C_{\psi}^P : \mathcal{H} \ni \phi \mapsto c_{\psi, \phi}^P \in L^2(G, \mu_G) \quad (21)$$

defines a linear operator which is essentially isometric and intertwines P with the left regular $\mathfrak{m}$ -representation of G ; namely:

$$C_{\psi}^P P(g) = R_g^{\mathfrak{m}} C_{\psi}^P, \quad \forall g \in G. \quad (22)$$

There exists a unique positive selfadjoint injective linear operator D_P in $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}(P) = \text{Dom}(D_P)$$

and

$$\int_G c_{\psi_1, \phi_1}^P(g)^* c_{\psi_2, \phi_2}^P(g) d\mu_G(g) = \langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \langle D_P \psi_2, D_P \psi_1 \rangle, \quad (23)$$

for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, for all $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{A}(P)$. Moreover, D_P is equal to the Duflou-Moore operator D_{U_P} associated with the square integrable representation U_P , provided that D_{U_P} is normalized according to $\mu_G \otimes \mu_{\mathbb{T}}$, with $\mu_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{T}) = 1$. Finally, D_P is bounded if and only if G is unimodular and, in such case, it is a multiple of the identity.

Proof: The map

$$\mathbb{T} \times G \ni (\tau, g) \mapsto |\langle P(g) \psi, \phi \rangle|^2$$

is a non-negative Borel function; hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G_{\mathbf{m}}} |\langle U_P(\tau, g) \psi, \phi \rangle|^2 d\mu_{\mathbb{T}} \otimes \mu_G(\tau, g) &= \int_{\mathbb{T} \times G} |\langle P(g) \psi, \phi \rangle|^2 d\mu_{\mathbb{T}} \otimes \mu_G(\tau, g) \\ (\text{Tonelli's theorem}) &= \int_G |\langle P(g) \psi, \phi \rangle|^2 d\mu_G(g) \int_{\mathbb{T}} d\mu_{\mathbb{T}}(\tau) \\ (\mu_{\mathbb{T}} = 1) &= \int_G |\langle P(g) \psi, \phi \rangle|^2 d\mu_G(g). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that $\mathcal{A}(P) = \mathcal{A}(U_P)$ and P is square integrable if and only if U_P is. Moreover, if P' is a projective representation of G equivalent to P , there exist a unitary or antiunitary operator V and a Borel function $\epsilon : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$P'(g) = \epsilon(g) V^* P(g) V, \quad \forall g \in G,$$

and hence:

$$|c_{\psi, \phi}^P(g)| = |c_{V\psi, V\phi}^{P'}(g)|, \quad \forall g \in G.$$

It follows that P' is square integrable if and only if P is.

Let P be square integrable. Then, U_P is square integrable and we have already shown that $\mathcal{A}(P) = \mathcal{A}(U_P)$. Now, notice that

$$c_{\psi_1, \phi_1}^{U_P}(\tau, g)^* c_{\psi_2, \phi_2}^{U_P}(\tau, g) = c_{\psi_1, \phi_1}^P(g)^* c_{\psi_2, \phi_2}^P(g), \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{T}, \forall g \in G.$$

Thus, according to the theorem of Duflo and Moore, there is a unique positive selfadjoint injective operator D_{U_P} such that $\mathcal{A}(U_P) = \text{Dom}(D_{U_P})$ and, for any $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{A}(U_P) = \mathcal{A}(P)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \langle D_{U_P} \psi_2, D_{U_P} \psi_1 \rangle &= \int_{G_m} c_{\psi_1 \phi_1}^{U_P}(\tau, g)^* c_{\psi_2 \phi_2}^{U_P}(\tau, g) d\mu_{\mathbb{T}} \otimes \mu_G(\tau, g) \\ &= \int_G c_{\psi_1 \phi_1}^P(g)^* c_{\psi_2 \phi_2}^P(g) d\mu_G(g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the linear operator C_ψ^P is essentially isometric. Moreover, since G is unimodular if and only if G_m is, $D_P \equiv D_{U_P}$ is bounded if and only if G is unimodular and, in such case, it is a multiple of the identity. Finally, let us prove the intertwining property (22). In fact, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (C_\psi^P(P(g)\phi))(g') &= \langle P(g')\psi, P(g)\phi \rangle \\ &= \langle P(g)^{-1}P(g')\psi, \phi \rangle \\ &= \mathbf{m}(g, g^{-1})^{-1} \langle P(g^{-1})P(g')\psi, \phi \rangle \\ &= \mathbf{m}(g, g^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{m}(g^{-1}, g') \langle P(g^{-1}g')\psi, \phi \rangle \\ &= \mathbf{m}(g, g^{-1}g')^{-1} \langle P(g^{-1}g')\psi, \phi \rangle \\ &= (R_g^{\mathbf{m}}(C_\psi^P\phi))(g'), \quad \psi \in \mathcal{A}(P), \psi \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. ■

Remark 1 If $\mathbf{m}$ is a multiplier for G , then also

$$\mathbf{m}^* : G \ni (g, h) \mapsto \mathbf{m}(g, h)^* = \mathbf{m}(g, h)^{-1} \in \mathbb{T} \quad (24)$$

is a multiplier and one can define the l.c.s.c. group $G_{\mathbf{m}^*}$. Furthermore, if P is an irreducible projective representation of G with multiplier $\mathbf{m}$, the map

$$U_{*P} : G_{\mathbf{m}^*} \ni (\tau, g) \mapsto \tau P(g) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) \quad (25)$$

is a strongly continuous irreducible unitary representation of $G_{\mathbf{m}^*}$. Then, arguing as above, one can substitute in Theorem 2 the representation U_P with U_{*P} .

Remark 2 One can show easily that the linear manifold $\mathcal{A}(P)$ is invariant with respect to P . If P is square integrable, then, according to Proposition 1, the Duflo-Moore operator D_{U_P} associated with the square integrable unitary representation U_P is semi-invariant with weight Δ_{G_m} ; hence, recalling equation (16), since $P(g) = U_P(1, g)$ and $D_P = D_{U_P}$, we have:

$$P(g) D_P P(g)^{-1} = \Delta_G(g)^{1/2} D_P, \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Notice that, as it happens for square integrable unitary representations, if one rescales the Haar measure μ_G by a positive constant, the operator D_P is rescaled by the square root of the same constant. We will say, then, that D_P is *normalized according to μ_G* .

4 Square integrable representations modulo a relatively central subgroup

Let G be a l.c.s.c. group, U a strongly continuous irreducible unitary representation of G in a separable complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and K a closed normal subgroup of G such that the restriction of U to K is a scalar representation; namely:

$$U(kg) = \chi(k)U(g) = U(gk), \quad \forall k \in K, \forall g \in G, \quad (26)$$

where $\chi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a continuous group homomorphism. We will say that the subgroup K , with the specified properties, is *U -central* or that K is *relatively central* with respect to U . This terminology refers to the fact that $U(K)$ is a subgroup of the centre of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ which, as we have seen, can be identified with $\mathbb{T}$. For instance, any closed central subgroup K of G is U -central; indeed, in such case we have that

$$U(k)U(g) = U(kg) = U(gk) = U(g)U(k), \quad \forall k \in K, \forall g \in G,$$

hence, by Schur's lemma, for any $k \in K$, $U(k) = \chi(k)I$, where χ is a unitary character of the abelian group K . In particular, we will denote by K_0 the centre of G . There exists a unique maximal U -central subgroup of G which will be denoted by $\bar{K}$. It coincides with the kernel of the continuous group homomorphism $G \ni g \mapsto \varpi \circ U(g) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

Given a generic relatively central subgroup K of G , we will denote by X the left coset space G/K , which, endowed with the quotient group structure and the quotient topology, is a l.c.s.c. group since K is a closed normal subgroup, and by $\mathbf{p} : G \rightarrow X$ the canonical projection homomorphism,

$$\mathbf{p}(g) = gK, \quad g \in G, \quad (27)$$

which is an open continuous map. There is a natural continuous action of G on X , $(\cdot)[\cdot] : G \times X \rightarrow X$, defined by:

$$g[x] = \mathbf{p}(g)x, \quad g \in G, \quad x \in X. \quad (28)$$

Notice that a left Haar measure μ_X on X is invariant with respect to this action. Hence, it is a standard result that, denoted by Δ_K the modular function of K , the following relation holds:

$$\Delta_K(k) = \Delta_G(k), \quad \forall k \in K.$$

This implies that, if G is unimodular, any closed normal subgroup of G — in particular, any U -central subgroup — will be unimodular. We recall also that there exists a (in general not unique) Borel map $\mathbf{s} : X \rightarrow G$, such that

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{s}(x)) = x, \quad \forall x \in X, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{s}(eK) = e.$$

Such a map is said to be a *Borel section*. Then its range intersects each left K -coset in exactly one point. Now, if $\mathbf{s}$ is a Borel section, since X is a quotient group, we have:

$$\mathbf{s}(x_1 x_2) = \mathbf{s}(x_1) \mathbf{s}(x_2) \kappa_{\mathbf{s}}(x_1, x_2), \quad (29)$$

where $\kappa_{\mathbf{s}} : X \times X \rightarrow K$ is Borel map (if, in particular, K is a central subgroup, one can check that $\kappa_{\mathbf{s}}$ is a K -multiplier).

As a first step, we want to show that if U is a square integrable representation $\bar{K}$ must be compact. To this aim, we need to prove a technical result which will be extremely useful in the following (see formula (32) below).

Lemma 1 *For any Borel section $\mathbf{s}$, the map*

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{s}} : X \times K \ni (x, k) \mapsto \mathbf{s}(x) k \in G \quad (30)$$

is a Borel isomorphism and the image, through $\gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1}$, of the product in G is given by

$$(x, k) (x', k') = (xx', \kappa_{\mathbf{s}}(x, x')^{-1} k_{\mathbf{s}(x')} k'), \quad x, x' \in X, \quad k, k' \in K, \quad (31)$$

where we have set $k_{\mathbf{s}(x')} \equiv \mathbf{s}(x')^{-1} k \mathbf{s}(x')$.

Proof : Since $\mathbf{s}$ is a Borel section, $\gamma_{\mathbf{s}}$ is a bijective Borel map, hence, as $X \times K$ and G equipped with their natural Borel structures are standard Borel spaces, a Borel isomorphism. Besides, for any $g, g' \in G$, setting

$$(x, k) = \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1}(g) = (\mathbf{p}(g), \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{p}(g))^{-1} g), \quad (x', k') = \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1}(g'),$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} g g' &= \mathbf{s}(x) k \mathbf{s}(x') k' \\ &= \mathbf{s}(x) \mathbf{s}(x') \mathbf{s}(x')^{-1} k \mathbf{s}(x') k' \\ &= \mathbf{s}(xx') (\kappa_{\mathbf{s}}(x, x')^{-1} \mathbf{s}(x')^{-1} k \mathbf{s}(x') k'). \end{aligned}$$

Then, since K is a normal subgroup, the point $\mathfrak{s}(x')^{-1}k\mathfrak{s}(x')$ belongs to K and

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}^{-1}(gg') = (xx', \kappa_{\mathfrak{s}}(x, x') \mathfrak{s}(x')^{-1}k\mathfrak{s}(x') k'),$$

which is precisely what we had to prove. ■

At this point, it is natural to ask what is the image measure, through the map $\gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}^{-1}$, of the Haar measure μ_G on G .

Lemma 2 *Denoted by μ_K a left Haar measure on K and by μ_X a left Haar measure on X , the image measure on $X \times K$, through the Borel isomorphism $\gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}^{-1}$, of μ_G does not depend on the choice of the Borel section $\mathfrak{s}$ and is proportional to the product measure $\mu_X \otimes \mu_K$. Hence, for any Borel function $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and any Borel section $\mathfrak{s} : X \rightarrow G$, the following formula holds:*

$$\int_G f(g) d\mu_G(g) = \int_{X \times K} f(\mathfrak{s}(x)k) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k), \quad (32)$$

for a suitable normalization of the Haar measures μ_X and μ_K which does not depend on the choice of the section $\mathfrak{s}$.

Proof : Recall that a left Haar measure on a l.c.s.c. group G is defined uniquely, up to multiplication by a positive constant, by the property of being a σ -finite left-invariant measure on the Borel σ -algebra of G (see, for instance, [21], chapter V, sect. 2). Thus, all we have to show is that the image $\tilde{\mu}_G$, through the Borel isomorphism $\gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}$, of the product measure $\mu_X \otimes \mu_K$ is a σ -finite left-invariant measure on G . Indeed, $\tilde{\mu}_G$ is σ -finite since $\mu_X \otimes \mu_K$ is. Moreover, for any non-negative Borel function $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f(gg') d\tilde{\mu}_G(g') &= \int_{X \times K} f(\mathfrak{s}(xx') \kappa_{\mathfrak{s}}(x, x')^{-1} k_{\mathfrak{s}(x')} k') d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x', k') \\ (\text{Tonelli's theorem}) &= \int_X \left(\int_K f(\mathfrak{s}(xx') \kappa_{\mathfrak{s}}(x, x')^{-1} k_{\mathfrak{s}(x')} k') d\mu_K(k') \right) d\mu_X(x') \\ (\text{invariance of } \mu_K) &= \int_K \left(\int_X f(\mathfrak{s}(xx') k') d\mu_X(x') \right) d\mu_K(k') \\ (\text{invariance of } \mu_X) &= \int_{X \times K} f(\mathfrak{s}(x') k') d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x', k'). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any non-negative Borel function f on G , we have

$$\int_G f(gg') d\tilde{\mu}_G(g') = \int_G f(g') d\tilde{\mu}_G(g'),$$

so that $\tilde{\mu}_G = \alpha \mu_G$, for some $\alpha > 0$. If $\mathbf{s}'$ is another Borel section, we have that $\mathbf{s}'(x) = \mathbf{s}(x) v(x)$, where $v : X \rightarrow K$ is a Borel map. Then, for any non-negative Borel function f on G , by Tonelli's theorem and the left-invariance of μ_K , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X \times K} f(\mathbf{s}(x) k) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k) &= \int_{X \times K} f(\mathbf{s}(x) v(x) k) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k) \\ &= \int_{X \times K} f(\mathbf{s}'(x) k) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the image measure through $\gamma_{\mathbf{s}}$ of $\mu_X \otimes \mu_K$ does not depend on the choice of $\mathbf{s}$ and the proof is complete. ■

From this point onwards, we will assume that the normalization of the Haar measures μ_X and μ_K has been fixed in such a way that equation (32) is satisfied. It will be an easy task, now, to prove the announced result.

Proposition 2 *If the representation U is square integrable, every U -central subgroup of G is compact. Hence, in particular, G admits square integrable irreducible unitary representations only if $\bar{K}$ and K_0 are compact.*

Proof : Indeed, if U is square integrable, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{A}(U)$, $\phi, \psi \neq 0$, we have:

$$0 < \alpha \equiv \int_G |c_{\psi, \phi}^U(g)|^2 d\mu_G(g) < +\infty.$$

Then, for any U -central subgroup K of G , using formula (32), we find:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \alpha &= \int_{X \times K} |c_{\psi, \phi}^U(\mathbf{s}(x) k)|^2 d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(k) \\ (K \text{ is } U\text{-central}) &= \int_{X \times K} |c_{\psi, \phi}^U(\mathbf{s}(x))|^2 d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k) \\ (\text{Tonelli's theorem}) &= \mu_K(K) \int_X |c_{\psi, \phi}^U(\mathbf{s}(x))|^2 d\mu_X(x) < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mu_K(K) < +\infty$, hence K must be compact. ■

Our next step will be to show that one can associate, in a natural way, with the unitary representation U of G a projective representation of X . To this aim, let us define a map $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{s}} : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by

$$\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{s}}(x_1, x_2) := \chi(\kappa_{\mathbf{s}}(x_1, x_2)), \quad (33)$$

where we recall that $\chi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is the continuous group homomorphism determined by the restriction of U to the U -central subgroup K .

Proposition 3 *Given a Borel section $\mathbf{s} : X \rightarrow G$, the function $\mathbf{m}_\mathbf{s}$ is a multiplier for X and the map $P_\mathbf{s} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$, defined by*

$$P_\mathbf{s}(x) := U(\mathbf{s}(x)), \quad \forall x \in X, \quad (34)$$

is an irreducible projective representation with multiplier $\mathbf{m}_\mathbf{s}$. Moreover, if $\mathbf{s}' : X \rightarrow G$ is another Borel section, $P_\mathbf{s}$ and $P_{\mathbf{s}'}$ are ray equivalent projective representations, hence, the multipliers $\mathbf{m}_\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{s}'}$ are similar.

Proof : As $\kappa_\mathbf{s}$ is a Borel map, the function $\mathbf{m}_\mathbf{s}$ is Borel and, since $\kappa_\mathbf{s}(e, x) = \kappa_\mathbf{s}(x, e) = e$, where here e denotes the identity both in X and in G , we have that $\mathbf{m}_\mathbf{s}(e, x) = \mathbf{m}_\mathbf{s}(x, e) = 1$, for any $x \in X$. Besides, since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}(x_1 x_2 x_3) &= \mathbf{s}(x_1) \mathbf{s}(x_2) \mathbf{s}(x_3) \kappa_\mathbf{s}(x_2, x_3) \kappa_\mathbf{s}(x_1, x_2 x_3) \\ &= \mathbf{s}(x_1) \mathbf{s}(x_2) \kappa_\mathbf{s}(x_1, x_2) \mathbf{s}(x_3) \kappa_\mathbf{s}(x_1 x_2, x_3), \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that the restriction of U to K is the scalar representation χI , we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\kappa_\mathbf{s}(x_1, x_2 x_3)) \chi(\kappa_\mathbf{s}(x_2, x_3)) I &= U(\mathbf{s}(x_1 x_2 x_3)) U(\mathbf{s}(x_1) \mathbf{s}(x_2) \mathbf{s}(x_3))^{-1} \\ &= \chi(\kappa_\mathbf{s}(x_1 x_2, x_3)) \chi(\kappa_\mathbf{s}(x_1, x_2)) I, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$. Thus $\mathbf{m}_\mathbf{s}$ is a multiplier for X . Moreover, observe that $P_\mathbf{s}$ is a weakly Borel map, $P_\mathbf{s}(e) = I$ and, setting $x_3 = e$ above, we obtain:

$$U(\mathbf{s}(x_1 x_2)) = \chi(\kappa_\mathbf{s}(x_1, x_2)) U(\mathbf{s}(x_1)) U(\mathbf{s}(x_2)).$$

Hence, $P_\mathbf{s}$ is a projective representation with multiplier $\mathbf{m}_\mathbf{s}$. The relation

$$\varpi(P_\mathbf{s}(X)) = \varpi(U(G)) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$$

implies that $P_\mathbf{s}$ is irreducible, since U is. If $\mathbf{s}'$ is another Borel section, there is a Borel map $v : X \rightarrow K$ such that

$$\mathbf{s}'(x) = \mathbf{s}(x) v(x), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Then, setting $\beta := \chi \circ v$, there exists a Borel map $\beta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$P_{\mathbf{s}'}(x) = \beta(x) P_\mathbf{s}(x), \quad \forall x \in X,$$

hence, $\varpi(P_{\mathbf{s}'}(x)) = \varpi(P_\mathbf{s}(x))$, for any $x \in X$; namely, $P_\mathbf{s}$ and $P_{\mathbf{s}'}$ are ray equivalent projective representations. It follows that the associated multipliers must be similar. In fact, explicitly, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\kappa_{\mathbf{s}'}(x_1, x_2)) I &= \chi(\mathbf{s}'(x_1 x_2) \mathbf{s}'(x_2)^{-1} \mathbf{s}'(x_1)^{-1}) I \\ &= \beta(x_1 x_2) \beta(x_1)^{-1} \beta(x_2)^{-1} U(\mathbf{s}(x_1 x_2) \mathbf{s}(x_2)^{-1} \mathbf{s}(x_1)^{-1}) \\ &= \beta(x_1 x_2) \beta(x_1)^{-1} \beta(x_2)^{-1} \chi(\kappa_\mathbf{s}(x_1, x_2)) I, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Thus $\mathfrak{m}_s$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{s'}$ are similar multipliers and the proof is complete. ■

Since P_s is a projective representation with multiplier $\mathfrak{m}_s$, one can define, as it has been shown in section 3, the l.c.s.c. group $X_{\mathfrak{m}_s}$ and the strongly continuous unitary representation

$$U_{P_s} : X_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \ni (\tau, x) \mapsto \tau^{-1} P_s(x) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (35)$$

U_{P_s} is irreducible since P_s is. Again, we stress that this construction does not depend essentially on the choice of the Borel section s . Indeed, if s' is another Borel section, $\mathfrak{m}_s$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{s'}$ are similar multipliers, so that $X_{\mathfrak{m}_s}$ and $X_{\mathfrak{m}_{s'}}$ are isomorphic topological groups and the representations U_{P_s} , $U_{P_{s'}}$ can be identified under this isomorphism.

At this point, we want to introduce the notion of square integrable representation modulo a relatively central subgroup. To this aim, let us recall that, for any compact subset $\mathcal{C}$ of G , the set $\mathcal{C}K$ is closed (since K is closed) and the subset $\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{C})$ of X is compact (since $\mathfrak{p}$ is continuous). We recall also that a Borel measure on a l.c.s.c. topological space is a Radon measure if and only if it is finite on compact sets (see, for instance, [23], chapter 7). Moreover, any Radon measure on a l.c.s.c. topological space is regular (in particular, Haar measures on l.c.s.c. groups are regular Radon measures). Then, let us define $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$ as the set of the Borel measures $\mu_{G,K}$ on G that verify the following conditions:

- (a) $\mu_{G,K}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure μ_G : $\mu_{G,K} \ll \mu_G$ (i.e. $\mu_{G,K}(\mathcal{B}) = 0$ for every Borel set $\mathcal{B} \subset G$ such that $\mu_G(\mathcal{B}) = 0$);
- (b) for any compact subset $\mathcal{C}$ of G ,

$$\mu_{G,K}(\mathcal{C}K) = \mu_X(\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{C})). \quad (36)$$

Notice that, since for any compact subset $\mathcal{C}$ of G the subset $\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{C})$ of X is compact, hence

$$\mu_{G,K}(\mathcal{C}) \leq \mu_{G,K}(\mathcal{C}K) < +\infty, \quad \forall \mu_{G,K} \in \mathcal{M}_{G,K},$$

the set $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$ consists of (regular) Radon measures. Moreover, any measure $\mu_{G,K}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$ is nonzero. Indeed, given a compact subset $\mathcal{X}$ of X , it is a standard result (see, for instance, [21], chapter V, sect. 4) that there is a compact subset $\mathcal{C}$ of G such that $\mathcal{X} = \mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{C})$. Then, choose the compact subset $\mathcal{X}$ so that $\mu_X(\mathcal{X}) > 0$ (for example, take the closure of a nonempty

precompact open set); hence: $\mu_{G,K}(\mathcal{C} K) > 0$.

Clearly, for any couple of positive constants α_1, α_2 , with $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$, and any couple of measures $\mu_{G,K}^1, \mu_{G,K}^2 \in \mathcal{M}_{G,K}$, the measure $\alpha_1 \mu_{G,K}^1 + \alpha_2 \mu_{G,K}^2$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$. Another fundamental property of the set $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$ is that it is left-invariant in the following sense. For any $\mu_{G,K} \in \mathcal{M}_{G,K}$ and any $g \in G$, one can define the g -translate measure $\mu_{G,K}^g$ by

$$\mu_{G,K}^g(\mathcal{B}) = \mu_{G,K}(g\mathcal{B}), \quad (37)$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is an arbitrary Borel subset of G . Then, if $\mu_G(\mathcal{B}) = 0$, we have that $\mu_G(g\mathcal{B}) = 0$ and, since $\mu_{G,K} \ll \mu_G$,

$$\mu_{G,K}^g(\mathcal{B}) = \mu_{G,K}(g\mathcal{B}) = 0;$$

hence: $\mu_{G,K}^g \ll \mu_G$. Besides, for any compact subset $\mathcal{C}$ of G , the set $g\mathcal{C}$ is compact and

$$\mu_{G,K}^g(\mathcal{C} K) = \mu_{G,K}(g\mathcal{C} K) = \mu_X(\mathfrak{p}(g) \mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{C})) = \mu_X(\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{C})).$$

Thus, the Borel measure $\mu_{G,K}^g$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$.

Equation (36) fixes, in particular, the normalization of the measures in $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$ in the sense that, given $\mu_{G,K} \in \mathcal{M}_{G,K}$, the measure $\alpha \mu_{G,K}$, with $0 < \alpha \neq 1$, does not belong to $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$. We could have defined $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$ letting this normalization free, but this would have introduced cumbersome constants in many formulae. Let us now give a complete characterization of the set $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$.

Proposition 4 *The left-invariant set of nonzero Radon measures $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$ is not empty. Any measure $\mu_{G,K}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$ is of the form $d\mu_{G,K} = \varrho d\mu_G$, where $\varrho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative Borel function — which is essentially unique, i.e. unique modulo alterations on μ_G -null sets — verifying the following property:*

$$\int_K \varrho(\mathfrak{s}(x) k) d\mu_K(k) = 1, \quad \text{for } \mu_X\text{-almost all } x \in X; \quad (38)$$

here the integral does not depend on the choice of the Borel section $\mathfrak{s}$. Conversely, every Borel measure $\mu_{G,K}$ on G such that $d\mu_{G,K} = \varrho d\mu_G$, for some non-negative Borel function $\varrho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ verifying property (38), is contained in $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$.

Proof : Let $\mu_{G,K}$ be a measure in $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$. Then, since $\mu_{G,K} \ll \mu_G$, according to the Radon-Nikodym theorem, $d\mu_{G,K} = \varrho d\mu_G$, for some essentially unique non-negative Borel function ϱ on G . Now, take a compact subset $\mathcal{C}$ of G and denote by $\mathcal{X}$ the compact subset $\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{C})$ of X (we have already recalled that each compact subset of X can be obtained in this way). Besides, for any set $\mathcal{Y}$, denote by $\iota_{\mathcal{Y}}$ its indicator function. At this point, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{G,K}(\mathcal{C} K) &= \int_G \iota_{\mathcal{C}K}(g) d\mu_{G,K}(g) \\ &= \int_G \iota_{\mathcal{C}K}(g) \varrho(g) d\mu_G(g) \\ &= \int_{X \times K} \iota_{\mathcal{C}K}(\mathfrak{s}(x) k) \varrho(\mathfrak{s}(x) k) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k), \end{aligned}$$

where for obtaining the last equality we have used formula (32). Then, since

$$\iota_{\mathcal{C}K}(\mathfrak{s}(x) k) = \iota_{\mathcal{X} \times K}(x, k) = \iota_{\mathcal{X}}(x), \quad \forall (x, k) \in X \times K,$$

by virtue of Tonelli's theorem, we find:

$$\mu_{G,K}(\mathcal{C} K) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \bar{\varrho}(x) d\mu_X(x),$$

where $\bar{\varrho}$ is the Borel function defined by

$$\bar{\varrho}(x) := \int_K \varrho(\mathfrak{s}(x) k) d\mu_K(k).$$

Here we stress that, by the left-invariance of μ_K , the Borel function $\bar{\varrho}$ does not depend on the choice of $\mathfrak{s}$. Hence, for any compact subset $\mathcal{C}$ of G , we have:

$$\mu_X(\mathcal{X}) = \mu_{G,K}(\mathcal{C} K) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \bar{\varrho}(x) d\mu_X(x).$$

Then, since μ_X is a regular measure (in particular, inner regular), it is determined uniquely by its value on compact sets and, by the arbitrariness of the compact set $\mathcal{X}$, we argue that $\bar{\varrho}(x) = 1$, for μ_X -almost x in X .

Conversely, reasoning as above, one finds that every non-negative Borel function ϱ on G satisfying property (38) defines a measure $\mu_{G,K}$ of the form $d\mu_{G,K} = \varrho d\mu_G$ which belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$. In particular, this is true for every continuous function $\varrho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_K \varrho(g k) d\mu_K(k) = 1, \quad \forall g \in G. \quad (39)$$

Now, such a function does exist (see, for instance, Proposition 2, p. 258, of ref. [19]), so that $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$ is a nonempty set. ■

Remark 3 *Condition (38) is equivalent to the following one:*

$$\int_K \varrho(gk) d\mu_K(k) = 1, \quad \text{for } \mu_G\text{-almost all } g \in G. \quad (40)$$

In fact, if we define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &:= \left\{ g \in G \mid \int_K \varrho(gk) d\mu_K(k) \neq 1 \right\}, \\ \mathcal{X} &:= \left\{ x \in X \mid \int_K \varrho(\mathfrak{s}(x)k) d\mu_K(k) \neq 1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

by the left-invariance of μ_K , we have that $\mathcal{X} = \mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}K = \mathfrak{p}^{-1}(\mathcal{X})$, hence $\mathcal{G} = \gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathcal{X}, K)$. Thus, by Lemma 2,

$$\mu_G(\mathcal{G}) = 0 \iff \mu_X(\mathcal{X}) = 0.$$

We will call the essentially unique non-negative Borel function ϱ of Proposition 4 the *density canonically associated with* $\mu_{G,K} \in \mathcal{M}_{G,K}$. Notice that, for every measure $\mu_{G,K}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$ and any $g \in G$, the g -translate measure $\mu_{G,K}^g$ is of the form $d\mu_{G,K}^g = \varrho^g d\mu_G$, where ϱ^g is the g -translate of density ϱ canonically associated with $\mu_{G,K}$, i.e. the Borel function defined by $\varrho^g(g') = \varrho(gg')$. In fact, for any Borel set $\mathcal{B} \subset G$, denoted by $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{B}}$ the indicator function of $\mathcal{B}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{G,K}^g(\mathcal{B}) &= \int_G \mathfrak{i}_{g\mathcal{B}}(g') \varrho(g') d\mu_G(g') \\ &= \int_G \mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{B}}(g^{-1}g') \varrho(g') d\mu_G(g') \\ &= \int_G \mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{B}}(g') \varrho(gg') d\mu_G(g'). \end{aligned}$$

Then, consider the set $\mathfrak{F}_{G,K}$ of classes of Borel functions on G defined as follows. Each element of $\mathfrak{F}_{G,K}$ consists of all real-valued Borel functions on G that are μ_G -almost everywhere equal to a non-negative Borel function ϱ satisfying condition (40); namely, this element is the equivalence class of ϱ , in the linear space of real-valued Borel functions on G , with respect to μ_G . For any $g \in G$, one can define the g -translate of an element of $\mathfrak{F}_{G,K}$ as the equivalence class, with respect to μ_G , of the g -translate of a representative

function of this element. As we have just seen, this equivalence class is again an element of $\mathfrak{F}_{G,K}$. In this sense, the set $\mathfrak{F}_{G,K}$ is left-invariant and, according to Proposition 4, there is a one-to-one correspondence between classes of functions in $\mathfrak{F}_{G,K}$ and measures in $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$. From the proof of Proposition 4, it turns out that there are elements of $\mathfrak{F}_{G,K}$ that admit a continuous representative function satisfying the stronger condition (39). We will call *regular* the corresponding measures in $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$. It is clear that regular measures form a left-invariant subset of $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$.

Now, let us define the following subset of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ (namely, the set of ‘admissible vectors for U modulo K ’):

$$\mathcal{A}(U, K) := \{ \psi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \exists \phi \in \mathcal{H}, \mu_{G,K} \in \mathcal{M}_{G,K} : \phi \neq 0, c_{\psi,\phi}^U \in L^2(G, \mu_{G,K}) \},$$

which will be shown to be a linear manifold. We will say that the representation U of G is *square integrable modulo the relatively central subgroup K* if

$$\mathcal{A}(U, K) \neq \{0\}.$$

Eventually, we are ready to establish the central results of this section.

Proposition 5 *The strongly continuous irreducible unitary representation U of the l.c.s.c. group G is square integrable modulo the relatively central subgroup K if and only if $P_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is a square integrable projective representation of X , hence, if and only if $U_{P_{\mathfrak{s}}}$ is a square integrable unitary representation of $X_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{s}}}$. Moreover, the respective sets of admissible vectors coincide:*

$$\mathcal{A}(U, K) = \mathcal{A}(P_{\mathfrak{s}}) = \mathcal{A}(U_{P_{\mathfrak{s}}}).$$

Proof : Let $\mu_{G,K}$ be a measure in $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$. Then, denoted by ϱ the density canonically associated with $\mu_{G,K}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |c_{\psi,\phi}^U(g)|^2 d\mu_{G,K}(g) &= \int_{X \times K} |\langle U(\mathfrak{s}(x)k) \psi, \phi \rangle|^2 \varrho(\mathfrak{s}(x)k) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k) \\ &= \int_{X \times K} |c_{\psi,\phi}^U(\mathfrak{s}(x))|^2 \varrho(\mathfrak{s}(x)k) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k) \\ &= \int_X |c_{\psi,\phi}^{P_{\mathfrak{s}}}(x)|^2 d\mu_X(x), \quad \forall \psi, \phi \in \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{A}(U, K) = \mathcal{A}(P_{\mathfrak{s}}) = \mathcal{A}(U_{P_{\mathfrak{s}}})$, hence U is square integrable modulo K if and only if $P_{\mathfrak{s}}$ (or $U_{P_{\mathfrak{s}}}$) is square integrable. ■

We can now prove a generalization of the theorem of Duflo and Moore.

Theorem 3 *Let the representation U of G be square integrable modulo the relatively central subgroup K . Then, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ and any ψ in the dense linear manifold $\mathcal{A}(U, K)$, the coefficient $c_{\psi, \phi}^U$ is square integrable with respect to any measure $\mu_{G, K}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{G, K}$. For any nonzero vector $\psi \in \mathcal{A}(U, K)$, the map*

$$C_{\psi}^{U, K} : \mathcal{H} \ni \phi \mapsto c_{\psi, \phi}^U \in L^2(G, \mu_{G, K}) \quad (41)$$

defines a linear operator which is essentially isometric. Furthermore, there exists a unique positive selfadjoint injective linear operator $D_{U, K}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}(U, K) = \text{Dom}(D_{U, K})$$

and

$$\int_X c_{\psi_1, \phi_1}^U(g)^* c_{\psi_2, \phi_2}^U(g) d\mu_{G, K}(g) = \langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \langle D_{U, K} \psi_2, D_{U, K} \psi_1 \rangle, \quad (42)$$

for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, for all $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{A}(U, K)$. Finally, the operator $D_{U, K}$ does not depend on the choice of the measure $\mu_{G, K}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{G, K}$ and, if D_{P_s} is normalized according to μ_X , $D_{U, K} = D_{P_s}$. Thus, $D_{U, K}$ is bounded if and only if $X = G/K$ is unimodular and, in such case, it is a multiple of the identity.

Proof : If U is square integrable modulo K , then, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$, $\psi \in \mathcal{A}(U, K) = \mathcal{A}(P_s)$ and $\mu_{G, K} \in \mathcal{M}_{G, K}$, we have:

$$\int_G |c_{\psi, \phi}^U(g)|^2 d\mu_{G, K}(g) = \int_X |c_{\psi, \phi}^{P_s}(x)|^2 d\mu_X(x) < +\infty.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} +\infty &> \int_G \langle \phi_1, U(g) \psi_1 \rangle \langle U(g) \psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle d\mu_{G, K}(g) \\ &= \int_{X \times K} \langle \phi_1, P_s(x) \psi_1 \rangle \langle P_s(x) \psi_2, \phi_2 \rangle \varrho(\mathbf{s}(x) k) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k) \\ (\text{Fubini's th.}) &= \int_X c_{\psi_1, \phi_1}^{P_s}(x)^* c_{\psi_2, \phi_2}^{P_s}(x) \left(\int_K \varrho(\mathbf{s}(x) k) d\mu_K(k) \right) d\mu_X(x) \\ &= \int_X c_{\psi_1, \phi_1}^{P_s}(x)^* c_{\psi_2, \phi_2}^{P_s}(x) d\mu_X(x) \\ (\text{Theorem 2}) &= \langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \langle D_{P_s} \psi_2, D_{P_s} \psi_1 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$\forall \phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, $\forall \psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{A}(P_s) = \mathcal{A}(U, K)$. Now, set $D_{U, K} = D_{P_s}$ and notice that nothing in the preceding arguments depends on the choice of $\mu_{G, K}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{G, K}$. This completes the proof. ■

Remark 4 Assume that K is compact. Then, if we set as usual $\mu_K(K) = 1$, μ_G belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$. Indeed, denoted by $\imath_{\mathcal{Y}}$ the indicator function of a set $\mathcal{Y}$, for any compact subset $\mathcal{C}$ of G , we have:

$$\imath_{\mathcal{C}K}(\mathbf{s}(x)k) = \imath_{\mathbf{p}(\mathcal{C}) \times K}(x, k) = \imath_{\mathbf{p}(\mathcal{C})}(x), \quad \forall x \in X, \forall k \in K.$$

Hence:

$$\mu_G(\mathcal{C}K) = \int_{X \times K} \imath_{\mathcal{C}K}(\mathbf{s}(x)k) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k) = \mu_X(\mathbf{p}(\mathcal{C})) \mu_K(K).$$

The same argument shows that, if μ_G belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$, then $\mu_K(K) = 1$ and K must be compact.

Remark 5 From Remark 4 it follows that, if K is a compact U -central subgroup, U is square integrable if and only if it is square integrable modulo K . In particular, the square-integrability of a unitary representation is equivalent to the square-integrability modulo the trivial subgroup $\{e\}$.

Remark 6 If K is a closed central subgroup of G , we can prove that the quotient group X is unimodular if and only if G is. Indeed, if K is central, then $\Delta_G(k) = \Delta_K(k) = 1$, for all $k \in K$, and, for any $f \in L^1(G, \mu_G)$, using Fubini's theorem we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f(g) d\mu_G(g) &= \int_{X \times K} f(\mathbf{s}(x)k) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k) \\ (K \text{ unimodular}) &= \int_{X \times K} f(\mathbf{s}(x^{-1})k^{-1}) \Delta_X(x^{-1}) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k) \\ (K \text{ central}) &= \int_{X \times K} f(k^{-1}\mathbf{s}(x^{-1})) \Delta_X(x^{-1}) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k) \\ (\mathbf{s}(x^{-1}) = \kappa_{\mathbf{s}}(x^{-1}, x)^{-1}\mathbf{s}(x)^{-1}) &= \int_{X \times K} f(k^{-1}\mathbf{s}(x)^{-1}) \Delta_X(x^{-1}) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k) \\ (\mathbf{p}(g^{-1}) = \mathbf{p}(g)^{-1}) &= \int_G f(g^{-1}) \Delta_X(\mathbf{p}(g^{-1})) d\mu_G(g), \end{aligned}$$

where Δ_X is the modular function on X . Hence:

$$\Delta_G(g) = \Delta_G(gk) = \Delta_X(\mathbf{p}(g)), \quad \forall g \in G, \forall k \in K, \quad (43)$$

so that X is unimodular if and only if G is.

We will now prove some properties concerning the square-integrability of a unitary representation modulo a relatively central subgroup.

Proposition 6 *If U is a square integrable representation of G , then U is square integrable modulo every U -central subgroup of G .*

Proof : If U is square integrable, then, according to Proposition 2, any U -central subgroup is compact. Hence, recalling Remark 5, we conclude that U is square integrable modulo every U -central subgroup. ■

Proposition 7 *If G admits a representation U that is square integrable modulo a compact relatively central subgroup, then every U -central subgroup of G is compact.*

Proof : If U is square integrable modulo a compact relatively central subgroup, then, according to Remark 5, it is square integrable, hence, by Proposition 2, every U -central subgroup is compact. ■

The following result can be regarded as a generalization of Remark 4.

Proposition 8 *Let $K, \tilde{K}$ be U -central subgroups of G , with $\tilde{K} \subset K$. Denote by $\tilde{X}, \check{X}$ respectively the quotient groups $G/\tilde{K}$ and $K/\tilde{K}$. Then, if $\check{X}$ is compact, for a suitable normalization of the left Haar measures $\mu_{\tilde{X}}$ and $\mu_{\tilde{K}}$, we have that*

$$\mathcal{M}_{G, \tilde{K}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{G, K}. \quad (44)$$

Hence, if $\check{X}$ is compact, U is square integrable modulo $\tilde{K}$ if and only if it is square integrable modulo K . Conversely, if relation (44) holds, then $\check{X}$ must be compact.

Proof : Suppose that $\check{X}$ is compact. Then we can set the normalization of the Haar measure on it as usual: $\mu_{\check{X}}(\check{X}) = 1$. This choice fixes the normalization of the Haar measures $\mu_{\tilde{K}}$ and $\mu_{\tilde{X}}$, if, denoted by $\check{s} : \check{X} \rightarrow K$ and $\tilde{s} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow G$ a couple of Borel sections, one imposes that the image measure, through the Borel isomorphism $\gamma_{\check{s}} : \check{X} \times \tilde{K} \rightarrow K$, of $\mu_{\check{X}} \otimes \mu_{\tilde{K}}$ is μ_K and μ_G is the image measure of $\mu_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mu_{\tilde{K}}$ through $\gamma_{\tilde{s}} : \tilde{X} \times \tilde{K} \rightarrow G$. Now, let $\tilde{\mu}_{G, \tilde{K}}$ be a regular measure in $\mathcal{M}_{G, \tilde{K}}$ and $\tilde{\varrho}$ the density canonically associated with it, which can be assumed to be a non-negative continuous function such that

$$\int_{\tilde{K}} \tilde{\varrho}(g \tilde{k}) d\mu_{\tilde{K}}(\tilde{k}) = 1, \quad \forall g \in G. \quad (45)$$

Then, for any compact subset $\mathcal{C}$ of G , denoted by $\iota_{\mathcal{C}K}$ the indicator function of the set $\mathcal{C}K$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mu}_{G,\tilde{K}}(\mathcal{C}K) &= \int_G \iota_{\mathcal{C}K}(g) \tilde{\varrho}(g) d\mu_G(g) \\ &= \int_{X \times K} \iota_{\mathcal{C}K}(\mathfrak{s}(x)k) \tilde{\varrho}(\mathfrak{s}(x)k) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k) \\ &= \int_{X \times K} \iota_{\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{C})}(x) \tilde{\varrho}(\mathfrak{s}(x)k) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k).\end{aligned}$$

Next, by Lemma 2 and Tonelli's theorem, the integral on $X \times K$ can be further decomposed and we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mu}_{G,\tilde{K}}(\mathcal{C}K) &= \int_{X \times \check{X} \times \tilde{K}} \iota_{\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{C})}(x) \tilde{\varrho}(\mathfrak{s}(x)\check{\mathfrak{s}}(\check{x})\tilde{k}) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_{\check{X}} \otimes \mu_{\tilde{K}}(x, \check{x}, \tilde{k}) \\ (\text{property (45)}) &= \int_{X \times \check{X}} \iota_{\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{C})}(x) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_{\check{X}}(x, \check{x}).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\tilde{\mu}_{G,\tilde{K}}(\mathcal{C}K) = \mu_X(\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{C}))$, for any compact subset $\mathcal{C}$ of G , hence, $\tilde{\mu}_{G,\tilde{K}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$.

In passing, notice that, by the same argument, if $\mathcal{M}_{G,\tilde{K}}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$, then, for a suitable normalization of the left Haar measures $\mu_{\check{X}}$ and $\mu_{\tilde{K}}$, it turns out that $\mu_{\check{X}}(\check{X}) = 1$, thus $\check{X}$ must be compact.

Now, let $\mu_{G,\tilde{K}}$ be any measure in $\mathcal{M}_{G,\tilde{K}}$. Then, observing that $\mathcal{C}K = \mathcal{C}K\tilde{K}$, since $\tilde{K} \subset K$, denoted by ϱ the density canonically associated with $\mu_{G,\tilde{K}}$ and by $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$ the projection homomorphism of G onto $\tilde{X}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{G,\tilde{K}}(\mathcal{C}K) &= \int_{\tilde{X} \times \tilde{K}} \iota_{\mathcal{C}K\tilde{K}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}(\tilde{x})\tilde{k}) \varrho(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}(\tilde{x})\tilde{k}) d\mu_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mu_{\tilde{K}}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{k}) \\ &= \int_{\tilde{X} \times \tilde{K}} \iota_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{C}K)}(\tilde{x}) \varrho(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}(\tilde{x})\tilde{k}) d\mu_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mu_{\tilde{K}}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{k}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any compact subset $\mathcal{C}$ of G ,

$$\mu_{G,\tilde{K}}(\mathcal{C}K) = \mu_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{C}K)) = \tilde{\mu}_{G,\tilde{K}}(\mathcal{C}K) = \mu_X(\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{C})).$$

Thus $\mathcal{M}_{G,\tilde{K}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{G,K}$ and the proof is complete. ■

5 Intertwining properties

In this section, we want to investigate the intertwining properties of the operators $C_\psi^{P_s}$ and $C_\psi^{U,K}$. To this aim, let us recall first that with any Borel

section $\mathbf{s} : X \rightarrow G$ one can associate the map $\mathbf{c}_\mathbf{s} : G \times X \rightarrow K$, defined by

$$\mathbf{c}_\mathbf{s}(g, x) := \mathbf{s}(x)^{-1} g^{-1} \mathbf{s}(g[x]), \quad (46)$$

which is called the cocycle associated with $\mathbf{s}$. Recall also (see, for instance, [21]) that the representation of G unitarily induced by the representation χ of K in $\mathbb{C}$ can be realized as the representation $R^{\chi, \mathbf{s}} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(X, \mu_X))$ defined by:

$$(R_g^{\chi, \mathbf{s}} f)(x) := \chi(\mathbf{c}_\mathbf{s}(g^{-1}, x)) f(g^{-1}[x]), \quad f \in L^2(X, \mu_X), \quad (47)$$

where we have used the fact that μ_X is an invariant measure with respect to the natural action of G on X .

Now, let us define $\mathcal{G}_0^\chi$ as the linear space of complex-valued Borel functions f on G that satisfy the following conditions:

$$f(gk) = \chi(k)^{-1} f(g), \quad \forall g \in G, \forall k \in K, \quad (48)$$

and

$$\int_X |f(\mathbf{s}(x))|^2 d\mu_X(x) < +\infty. \quad (49)$$

Observe that, due to the first condition, the second one does not depend on the choice of the Borel section $\mathbf{s}$. Next, identifying two functions $f, \tilde{f}$ in $\mathcal{G}_0^\chi$ if $f(\mathbf{s}(x)) = \tilde{f}(\mathbf{s}(x))$ for μ_X -almost all $x \in X$, we can define the pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}^\chi$ of equivalence classes of functions in $\mathcal{G}_0^\chi$ with scalar product

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{G}^\chi} := \int_X f_1(\mathbf{s}(x))^* f_2(\mathbf{s}(x)) d\mu_X(x). \quad (50)$$

At this point, given a measure $\mu_{G,K}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$ and denoted by ϱ the density canonically associated with $\mu_{G,K}$, for any $f \in \mathcal{G}^\chi$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{G}^\chi}^2 &:= \int_X |f(\mathbf{s}(x))|^2 d\mu_X(x) \\ (\text{condition (48), property (38)}) &= \int_{X \times K} |f(\mathbf{s}(x)k)|^2 \varrho(\mathbf{s}(x)k) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we find that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{G}^\chi}^2 = \int_G |f(g)|^2 d\mu_{G,K}(g), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{G}^\chi. \quad (51)$$

Then, one can associate in a natural way with any function f (representative of an equivalence class of functions) in $\mathcal{G}^\chi$ its equivalence class of functions

with respect to $\mu_{G,K}$ (i.e. the class of all Borel functions $\mu_{G,K}$ -almost everywhere equal to f), so obtaining a linear submanifold $\mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$ of $L^2(G, \mu_{G,K})$ and an isomorphism of pre-Hilbert spaces $\mathsf{l}_{\mu_{G,K}} : \mathcal{G}^\chi \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$. From this point onwards, with the customary abuse, we will not make a distinction between an element of $\mathcal{G}^\chi$ or $\mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$ and a representative function of this element, whenever this distinction will be irrelevant; thus, in particular, $\mathsf{l}_{\mu_{G,K}}^{-1}$ will be regarded as the map which associates with any function in $\mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$ a function $\mu_{G,K}$ -a.e. equal to it that verifies condition (48).

Let us prove that $\mathcal{G}^\chi$, $\mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$ are actually Hilbert spaces and, hence, $\mathsf{l}_{\mu_{G,K}}$ is a unitary operator.

Proposition 9 *For any Borel section $\mathsf{s} : X \rightarrow G$, there is an isometric linear operator $F_\mathsf{s} : L^2(X, \mu_X) \rightarrow L^2(G, \mu_{G,K})$, such that $\text{Ran}(F_\mathsf{s}) = \mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$, which is explicitly defined by*

$$(F_\mathsf{s} \varphi)(g) = \chi(\mathsf{s}(\mathsf{p}(g))^{-1}g)^{-1} \varphi(\mathsf{p}(g)), \quad \forall g \in G, \quad (52)$$

for any $\varphi \in L^2(X, \mu_X)$. Thus $\mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(G, \mu_{G,K})$, hence a separable complex Hilbert space.

Proof : For any $\varphi \in L^2(X, \mu_X)$, since γ_s is a Borel isomorphism, we can define a Borel function f on G setting

$$f(\gamma_\mathsf{s}(x, k)) = \chi(k)^{-1} \varphi(x), \quad \forall x \in X, \forall k \in K.$$

Now, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |f(g)|^2 d\mu_{G,K}(g) &= \int_{X \times K} |\chi(k)^{-1} \varphi(x)|^2 \varrho(\mathsf{s}(x)k) d\mu_X \otimes \mu_K(x, k) \\ &= \int_X |\varphi(x)|^2 d\mu_X(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the mapping $\varphi \mapsto f$ defines a linear isometry F_s^0 from $L^2(X, \mu_X)$ into $L^2(G, \mu_{G,K})$. Observe also that

$$f(\mathsf{s}(x)k k') = \chi(k k')^{-1} \varphi(x) = \chi(k')^{-1} f(\mathsf{s}(x)k), \quad \forall x \in X, \forall k, k' \in K.$$

It follows that range of this mapping is contained in $\mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$; let us show that it coincides with $\mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$. Indeed, take any function f in $\mathcal{G}^\chi$ and set:

$$\varphi(x) = f(\mathsf{s}(x)), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Then, $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a Borel function and

$$\int_X |\varphi(x)|^2 d\mu_X(x) = \int_X |f(\mathbf{s}(x))|^2 d\mu_X(x) = \|f\|_{\mathcal{G}^\chi}^2,$$

hence φ belongs to $L^2(X, \mu_X)$. Moreover, as f satisfies condition (48), $F_{\mathbf{s}}^0 \varphi = f$, where now f is regarded as an element of $\mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$, and we conclude that $\text{Ran}(F_{\mathbf{s}}^0) = \mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$. Eventually, notice that, for any $\varphi \in L^2(X, \mu_X)$,

$$(F_{\mathbf{s}}^0 \varphi)(g) = \chi(\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{p}(g))^{-1}g)^{-1} \varphi(\mathbf{p}(g)) = (F_{\mathbf{s}} \varphi)(g), \quad \forall g \in G.$$

This completes the proof. ■

Observe that in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}^\chi$ one can define the strongly continuous unitary representation R^χ of G by

$$(R_g^\chi f)(g') := f(g^{-1}g'), \quad g, g' \in G, \quad (53)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{G}^\chi$. Indeed, recalling formula (51), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_g^\chi f\|_{\mathcal{G}^\chi}^2 &= \int_G |f(g^{-1}g')|^2 d\mu_{G,K}(g') \\ &= \int_G |f(g')|^2 \varrho(gg') d\mu_G(g') \\ (g = \mathbf{s}(x)k) &= \int_X |f(\mathbf{s}(x'))|^2 \zeta(xx') d\mu_X(x'), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(xx') &= \int_K \varrho(\mathbf{s}(xx')(\kappa_{\mathbf{s}}(x, x')^{-1}k_{\mathbf{s}(x')}k')) d\mu_K(k') \\ (\text{invariance of } \mu_K) &= \int_K \varrho(\mathbf{s}(xx')k') d\mu_K(k'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\zeta(xx') = 1$ for μ_X -almost all $x' \in X$ and

$$\|R_g^\chi f\|_{\mathcal{G}^\chi}^2 = \int_X |f(\mathbf{s}(x'))|^2 d\mu_X(x') = \|f\|_{\mathcal{G}^\chi}^2.$$

Then, since $R_e^\chi = I$ and $R_{g_1 g_2}^\chi = R_{g_1}^\chi R_{g_2}^\chi$, for any $g_1, g_2 \in G$, R^χ is a unitary representation. Moreover, since, for any $f, \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{G}^\chi$, the function

$$(g, g') \mapsto f(g^{-1}g')^* \tilde{f}(g')$$

is Borel on $G \times G$, we have that

$$G \ni g \mapsto \langle R_g^\chi f, \tilde{f} \rangle_{\mathcal{G}^\chi} = \int_G f(g^{-1}g')^* \tilde{f}(g') d\mu_{G,K}(g') \in \mathbb{C}$$

is a Borel map. This means that R^χ is a weakly Borel unitary representation, hence, strongly continuous. It follows that

$$R_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi : G \ni g \mapsto R_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi(g) = \mathbf{l}_{\mu_{G,K}} R_g^\chi \mathbf{l}_{\mu_{G,K}}^* \quad (54)$$

is a strongly continuous unitary representation of G in $\mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$.

We want to show now that the representations $R_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$ and $R^{\chi,s}$ defined above can actually be identified. In fact, we can define, according to Proposition 9, the unitary operator

$$\hat{F}_s : L^2(X, \mu_X) \ni \varphi \mapsto F_s \varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi \quad (55)$$

and prove the following result.

Proposition 10 *The representations $R_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$ and $R^{\chi,s}$ are unitarily equivalent. Precisely, we have:*

$$R_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi(g) = \hat{F}_s R_g^{\chi,s} \hat{F}_s^*, \quad \forall g \in G. \quad (56)$$

Proof : Indeed, for any $f \in \mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$, setting $g' = s(x') k'$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathbf{l}_{\mu_{G,K}}^* \hat{F}_s R_g^{\chi,s} \hat{F}_s^* f \right) (s(x') k') &= \chi(k')^{-1} \left(\mathbf{l}_{\mu_{G,K}}^* \hat{F}_s R_g^{\chi,s} \hat{F}_s^* f \right) (s(x')) \\ &= \chi(k')^{-1} \left(R_g^{\chi,s} \hat{F}_s^* f \right) (x') \\ &= \chi(k')^{-1} \chi(c_s(g^{-1}, x)) \left(\hat{F}_s^* f \right) (g^{-1}[x']) \\ &= \chi(k')^{-1} \chi(c_s(g^{-1}, x)) \left(\mathbf{l}_{\mu_{G,K}}^* f \right) (s(g^{-1}[x'])) \\ &= \chi(k'^{-1} c_s(g^{-1}, x)) \left(\mathbf{l}_{\mu_{G,K}}^* f \right) (g^{-1} s(x') c_s(g^{-1}, x')) \\ (\mathbf{l}_{\mu_{G,K}}^* f \in \mathcal{G}^\chi) &= \left(\mathbf{l}_{\mu_{G,K}}^* f \right) (g^{-1} s(x') k') \\ &= \left(R_g^\chi \mathbf{l}_{\mu_{G,K}}^* f \right) (s(x') k'), \end{aligned}$$

$\forall g \in G, \forall x' \in X, \forall k' \in K$. Then, since γ_s is a bijective map, we have that

$$\hat{F}_s R_g^{\chi,s} \hat{F}_s^* = \mathbf{l}_{\mu_{G,K}} R_g^\chi \mathbf{l}_{\mu_{G,K}}^* = R_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi(g), \quad \forall g \in G,$$

and the proof is complete. ■

Remark 7 *The representation R^χ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}^\chi$ is the realization of the representation of G induced by the representation χ of K introduced by G. Mackey in his seminal paper [24] on induced representations of locally compact groups (extending the work of Frobenius on representations of finite groups). This realization is often used in the mathematical literature. Here we have shown that one can give a realization $R_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$ of this induced representation in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$ of (equivalence classes of) functions on G that are square integrable with respect to a measure in the left-invariant set $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$, hence ‘living completely on G ’, unlike the functions in $\mathcal{G}^\chi$.*

At this point, we can make the intertwining properties of the operators $C_\psi^{U,K}$ and $C_\psi^{P_s}$ explicit.

Theorem 4 *Let the representation U be square integrable modulo K . Then, for any nonzero vector $\psi \in \mathcal{A}(U, K) = \mathcal{A}(P_s)$ the range of the linear operator $C_\psi^{U,K}$, which is essentially isometric, is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$ and $C_\psi^{U,K}$ intertwines the representation U with the representation $R_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$, namely*

$$C_\psi^{U,K} U(g) = R_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi(g) C_\psi^{U,K}, \quad \forall g \in G. \quad (57)$$

Besides, the essentially isometric linear operator $C_\psi^{P_s}$ intertwines the representation U and the projective representation P_s of X respectively with the induced representation $R^{\chi,s}$ and the left regular $\mathfrak{m}_s$ -representation $R^{\mathfrak{m}_s}$ of X in $L^2(X, \mu_X)$.

Proof : Observe that, since K is U -central, we have:

$$\langle U(gk) \psi, \phi \rangle = \chi(k)^{-1} \langle U(g) \psi, \phi \rangle, \quad \forall g \in G, \forall k \in K.$$

Thus, if U is square integrable modulo K , then, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ and any $\psi \in \mathcal{A}(U, K)$, $\psi \neq 0$, the coefficient $c_{\psi,\phi}^U$ is a continuous function which belongs to $L^2(G, \mu_{G,K})$ and

$$c_{\psi,\phi}^U(gk) = \chi(k)^{-1} c_{\psi,\phi}^U(g), \quad \forall g \in G, \forall k \in K.$$

This proves that $\text{Ran}(C_\psi^{U,K})$ is contained in $\mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$, hence, it is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$ since $C_\psi^{U,K}$ is essentially isometric. Moreover,

$$(C_\psi^{U,K} U(g) \phi)(g') = \langle U(g') \psi, U(g) \phi \rangle = \langle U(g^{-1} g') \psi, \phi \rangle = c_{\psi,\phi}^U(g^{-1} g'),$$

hence $C_\psi^{U,K}$ intertwines U with $R_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$.

Besides, we have already shown in section 3 that $C_\psi^{P_s}$ intertwines P_s with R^{m_s} . Now, let us observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P_s(x) \psi, U(g) \phi \rangle &= \langle U(s(x)) \psi, U(g) \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle U(g^{-1} s(x)) \psi, \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle U(s(g^{-1}[x]) c_s(g^{-1}, x)^{-1}) \psi, \phi \rangle \\ &= \chi(c_s(g^{-1}, x)) \langle P_s(g^{-1}[x]) \psi, \phi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

hence, $C_\psi^{P_s}$ intertwines U with $R^{\chi \cdot s}$. ■

6 Discussion of the main results and examples

We believe that the fundamental points of our paper are two:

- P1** the definition of square-integrability of a representation U of G modulo a relatively central subgroup K by means of the left-invariant set of Radon measures $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$;
- P2** the association of square integrable representations modulo a relatively central subgroup with square integrable projective representations.

With respect to the first point, we observe that our definition is a natural and complete generalization of the usual notion of square-integrability of a representation. The role played by the Haar measure μ_G in the standard case is played, in the generalized case, by the set $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$, which consists of measures that are not left-invariant individually but are transformed one into another by left translations and reduces (up to normalization) to $\{\mu_G\}$ when $K = \{e\}$. The complete characterization of $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$ provided by Proposition 4 allows to define, for any measure $\mu_{G,K}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{G,K}$, the realization $R_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$ of the representation of G induced by the 1-dimensional representation χ of K and to establish the link with square integrable projective representations, namely the second fundamental point of our approach. This association has to important consequences. First, one can prove the ‘generalized Duflo-Moore’ Theorem 3 directly from the classical result of Duflo and Moore, hence, show that if U is square integrable modulo K then it is equivalent to a subrepresentation of $R_{\mu_{G,K}}^\chi$ (that can be regarded as a natural generalization of the left regular representation of G to which it reduces for $K = \{e\}$). Second, one can check if the representation U of G is square integrable modulo K investigating the square-integrability of the

unitary representation U_{P_s} of the central extension $X_{\mathfrak{m}_s}$ of $\mathbb{T}$ by $X = G/K$. In many concrete applications, it turns out that $X_{\mathfrak{m}_s}$ is a semidirect product and one can use some general results on square integrable representations of semidirect products (see [25]).

The definition of square-integrability modulo a subgroup of a representation given in this paper can be partially compared with the notion of representation with a ‘ α -admissible’ [26] or ‘ V -admissible’ [27] subspace. Anyway, as far as we know, the points **P1** and **P2** (and their consequences) are specific of our work.

We will now discuss the example of the representations of the Weyl-Heisenberg group, which is remarkable for its physical applications, and an example of a representation that is square integrable modulo a non-central relatively central subgroup.

Let us consider the $(2n+1)$ -dimensional *polarized* Weyl-Heisenberg group (see, for instance, [28]), namely the semidirect product

$$\mathbb{H}'_n = (K \times \mathbf{P}) \times'_{\mathfrak{a}} \mathbf{Q},$$

where K , $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$ are vector groups isomorphic respectively to $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$, and the action $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbf{Q}$ on $K \times \mathbf{P}$, is defined by:

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{q}}(k, \mathbf{p}) = (k + \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}), \quad (k, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \in K \times \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q}; \quad (58)$$

here the dot denotes the euclidean product. Thus, the composition law in $\mathbb{H}'_n$ is given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} (k, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) (k', \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q}') &= (k + k' + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{q}}(k', \mathbf{p}'), \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}') \\ &= (k + k' + \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}'). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

As the action $\mathfrak{a}$ is smooth, $\mathbb{H}'_n$ is a Lie group (hence, a l.c.s.c. group). The subgroup K is the centre of $\mathbb{H}'_n$. Then, since $\mathbb{H}'_n$ has a noncompact centre, according to Proposition 2, it cannot admit square integrable unitary representations. We can also check this result by explicitly classifying the irreducible unitary representations of $\mathbb{H}'_n$. In fact, the polarized Weyl-Heisenberg group has an abelian normal factor $K \times \mathbf{P}$, so that we can use Mackey’s little group method.

To this aim, let us identify the dual group $\check{K} \times \check{\mathbf{P}}$ of the normal factor $K \times \mathbf{P}$ of $\mathbb{H}'_n$ with $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ by means of the standard pairing:

$$\begin{aligned} (\check{K} \times \check{\mathbf{P}}) \times (K \times \mathbf{P}) &\cong (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n) \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \\ ((\check{k}, \check{\mathbf{p}}), (k, \mathbf{p})) &\mapsto (\check{k}, \check{\mathbf{p}}) \diamond (k, \mathbf{p}) \equiv e^{i(k\check{k} + \mathbf{p} \cdot \check{\mathbf{p}})}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have that the dual action $\check{\mathbf{a}}$ of $\mathbf{Q}$ upon $\check{K} \times \check{\mathbf{P}}$, which is defined by

$$(\check{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{q}}(\check{k}, \check{\mathbf{p}})) \diamond (k, \mathbf{p}) := (\check{k}, \check{\mathbf{p}}) \diamond (\mathbf{a}_{-\mathbf{q}}(k, \mathbf{p})),$$

has the following explicit form:

$$\check{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{q}}(\check{k}, \check{\mathbf{p}}) = (\check{k}, \check{\mathbf{p}} - \check{k} \mathbf{q}), \quad (\check{k}, \check{\mathbf{p}}) \in \check{K} \times \check{\mathbf{P}}, \quad \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Q}. \quad (60)$$

Hence, the $\mathbf{Q}$ -orbits in $\check{K} \times \check{\mathbf{P}} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to this action can be classified as follows:

- the singleton orbits

$$\mathcal{O}_{0, \check{\mathbf{p}}} = \{ (0, \check{\mathbf{p}}) \}, \quad \check{\mathbf{p}} \in \mathbb{R}^n;$$

- the non-singleton orbits

$$\mathcal{O}_{\check{k}} = \{ (\check{k}, \check{\mathbf{p}}) \mid \check{\mathbf{p}} \in \mathbb{R}^n \}, \quad \check{k} \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\},$$

which are n -dimensional affine submanifolds of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Observe that these orbits are closed subsets of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, since $\mathbb{H}'_n$ is a l.c.s.c. group, the orbit structure generated by the dual action $\check{\mathbf{a}}$ is regular (see [29], chapter 6; or ‘smooth’, see [21], chapter VI). It follows that any irreducible unitary representation of $\mathbb{H}'_n$ is unitarily equivalent to one generated by Mackey’s method. At this point, since all the orbits generated by $\check{\mathbf{a}}$ are negligible sets with respect to the Haar measure $d\check{k} d\check{\mathbf{p}}$ on $\check{K} \times \check{\mathbf{P}}$, by virtue of a general result concerning semidirect products with abelian normal factor (see [25], Theorem 2), we conclude again that $\mathbb{H}'_n$ does not admit square integrable representations.

We want to show now that the representations associated with the non-singleton orbits are square integrable modulo K . To this aim, let us consider the generic non-singleton orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\check{k}}$ ($\check{k} \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$). The action of $\mathbf{Q}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\check{k}}$ is free, hence, there is only one irreducible unitary representation $U_{\check{k}}$ associated by Mackey’s method with this orbit, i.e. the one which is induced by the unitary character $(\check{k}, 0) \diamond (\cdot, \cdot)$ of the subgroup $K \times \mathbf{P}$ of $\mathbb{H}'_n$. This representation can be realized in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\check{k}} \equiv L^2(\mathcal{O}_{\check{k}}, d\check{\mathbf{p}})$ and is defined by

$$(U_{\check{k}}(k, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) f)(\check{\mathbf{p}}) = e^{i(k\check{k} + \mathbf{p} \cdot \check{\mathbf{p}})} f(\check{\mathbf{p}} + \check{k} \mathbf{q}), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}_{\check{k}}, \quad (61)$$

where we have used the fact that $d\check{\mathbf{p}}$ is an invariant measure with respect to the dual action of $\mathbf{Q}$. Observe that the restriction of $U_{\check{k}}$ to K is of the form

$\chi_{\tilde{k}} I$, where $\chi_{\tilde{k}}$ is the unitary character $K \ni k \mapsto e^{ik\tilde{k}}$.

Next, one can show easily that the quotient group $X \equiv \mathbb{H}'_n/K$ can be identified with the direct product group $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q} \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ (notice that this group is not a subgroup of $\mathbb{H}'_n$). A smooth section $\mathbf{s} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}'_n$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = (0, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}), \quad (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \in \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q}. \quad (62)$$

Notice, in passing, that another smooth section $\mathbf{s}'$ is given by

$$\mathbf{s}'(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}). \quad (63)$$

Then, since

$$(0, \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) = (0, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{q}_1) (0, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}_2) (-\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2, 0, 0),$$

we find that

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{s}}((\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{q}_1), (\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}_2)) = (-\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2, 0, 0), \quad \mathbf{m}_{\tilde{k}, \mathbf{s}}((\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{q}_1), (\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}_2)) = e^{-i\tilde{k} \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2},$$

where we have used the notations introduced in section 4. Thus, the formula

$$\left(P_{\tilde{k}, \mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) f \right) (\check{\mathbf{p}}) := \left(U_{\tilde{k}}(\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})) f \right) (\check{\mathbf{p}}), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{k}}, \quad (64)$$

defines a projective representation of X , with multiplier $\mathbf{m}_{\tilde{k}, \mathbf{s}}$. According to Proposition 5, the unitary representation $U_{\tilde{k}}$ is square integrable if and only if $P_{\tilde{k}, \mathbf{s}}$ is. Moreover, as it has been shown in section 3, the problem of the square-integrability of $P_{\tilde{k}, \mathbf{s}}$ can be tackled by introducing a central extension of the circle group $\mathbb{T}$ by X . In fact, following the recipe given therein, we define the group $X_{\mathbf{m}_{\tilde{k}, \mathbf{s}}}^*$ (see Remark 1) consisting of the cartesian product $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q}$ equipped with the composition law

$$(\tau, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) (\tau', \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q}') = (\tau \tau' e^{i\tilde{k} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}'}, \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}'). \quad (65)$$

$X_{\mathbf{m}_{\tilde{k}, \mathbf{s}}}^*$, endowed with the product topology, becomes a l.c.s.c. group (actually, it is even a Lie group). Notice that $X_{\mathbf{m}_{\tilde{k}, \mathbf{s}}}^*$ is a semidirect product, i.e.

$$X_{\mathbf{m}_{\tilde{k}, \mathbf{s}}}^* = (\mathbb{T} \times \mathbf{P}) \times'_{\bar{\mathbf{a}}^{\tilde{k}}} \mathbf{Q}, \quad (66)$$

where the action $\bar{\mathbf{a}}^{\tilde{k}}$ of $\mathbf{Q}$ on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbf{P}$ is defined by

$$\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\tilde{k}}(\tau, \mathbf{p}) = (\tau e^{i\tilde{k} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{p}). \quad (67)$$

Let us observe explicitly that $X_{\mathbf{m}_{\check{k},s}^*} = X_{\mathbf{m}_{-\check{k},s}}$, $\check{k} \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. In particular, $X_{\mathbf{m}_{1,s}^*}$ is called the *reduced polarized n -dimensional Weyl-Heisenberg group*, denoted by $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}'_n$. Now, the dual group of $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbf{P}$ can be identified with $\mathbb{Z} \times \check{\mathbf{P}} \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ using the standard pairing

$$(\mathbb{Z} \times \check{\mathbf{P}}) \times (\mathbb{T} \times \mathbf{P}) \ni ((j, \check{\mathbf{p}}), (\tau, \mathbf{p})) \mapsto \tau^j e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \check{\mathbf{p}}}. \quad (68)$$

Then, since the dual action of $\mathbf{Q}$ on $\mathbb{Z} \times \check{\mathbf{P}}$ is free, Mackey's method associates with the generic non-singleton orbit

$$\mathcal{O}_j = \{(j, \check{\mathbf{p}}) \mid \check{\mathbf{p}} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\},$$

a single unitary representation $U_{\check{k},j}$ in $L^2(\mathcal{O}_j, d\check{\mathbf{p}})$, defined by

$$\left(U_{\check{k},j}(\tau, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) f \right) (\check{\mathbf{p}}) = \tau^j e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \check{\mathbf{p}}} f(\check{\mathbf{p}} + j \check{k} \mathbf{q}). \quad (69)$$

This time the orbit $\mathcal{O}_j$ is a non-negligible set with respect to the Haar measure $dj d\check{\mathbf{p}}$ on $\mathbb{Z} \times \check{\mathbf{P}}$, where dj is the counting measure on $\mathbb{Z}$. It follows that (see [25], Corollary 1), for any $j \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, $U_{\check{k},j}$ is square integrable. Now, observe that $U_{\check{k},1}$ coincides with the representation $U_{*P_{\check{k},s}}$ associated with the projective representation $P_{\check{k},s}$ (see formula (25)), or, equivalently, $U_{\check{k},-1}$ with $U_{P_{-\check{k},s}}$. Hence, according to Proposition 5, for any $\check{k} \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, the representation $U_{\check{k}}$ is square integrable modulo K . Moreover, since X is unimodular, the set of the admissible vectors $\mathcal{A}(U_{\check{k}}, K)$ coincides with the whole Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\check{k}}$.

As we have seen in section 4, the projective representation $P_{\check{k},s'}$ associated with the section s' (see formula (63)) is square integrable since $P_{\check{k},s}$ is. Now, denoted by $\hat{\mathbf{q}}, \hat{\mathbf{p}}$ respectively the (vector) position and momentum operators and by $\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger$ the (vector) annihilation and creation operators,

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{\mathbf{q}} + i\hat{\mathbf{p}}), \quad \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{\mathbf{q}} - i\hat{\mathbf{p}}),$$

we recall that the displacement operator with parameter $\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{q} + i\mathbf{p})$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{z}) := \exp(\mathbf{z} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger - \mathbf{z}^* \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}). \quad (70)$$

Hence, fixing $\check{k} = -1$ (recall formulae (61), (64)) and identifying $L^2(\mathcal{O}_{-1}, d\check{\mathbf{p}})$ with $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{z}) = e^{i(\mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}})} = e^{-\frac{i}{2} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}} e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}} = P_{-1,s'}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}). \quad (71)$$

Thus, the set of displacement operators which generate classical coherent states (see [30]) are nothing but a square integrable projective representation of $\mathbb{C}$ regarded as a vector group.

In many physical applications (for instance, canonical quantization), the standard Weyl Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_n$ is used instead of $\mathbb{H}'_n$. We recall that $\mathbb{H}_n$ is the Lie group with manifold $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and composition law

$$(k, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})(k', \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q}') = (k + k' + (\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}')/2, \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}'). \quad (72)$$

The groups $\mathbb{H}_n$ and $\mathbb{H}'_n$ are isomorphic. Precisely, the map

$$\delta : \mathbb{H}_n \ni (k, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \mapsto \left(k + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \right) \in \mathbb{H}'_n \quad (73)$$

is an isomorphism of Lie groups. Here we have used the polarized Weyl-Heisenberg group just because its semidirect product structure emerges in a more transparent way.

We stress also that we have chosen the symbols denoting the elements of $\mathbb{H}'_n$ so that to obtain the projective representation which generates the coherent states without using the Fourier transform. A choice closer to the physicist's point of view is the following. Interchange the symbols $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$ so that, now, $\mathbb{H}'$ is the semidirect product $(K \times \mathbf{Q}) \times' \mathbf{P}$, with composition law

$$(k, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})(k', \mathbf{q}', \mathbf{p}') = (k + k' + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}', \mathbf{q}' + \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}). \quad (74)$$

Next, proceeding as above, we find that the representation associated by Mackey's method with the nonsingleton orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\check{k}}$ is given by:

$$(U_{\check{k}}(k, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) f)(\check{\mathbf{q}}) = e^{i(k\check{k} + \mathbf{q} \cdot \check{\mathbf{q}})} f(\check{\mathbf{q}} + \check{k} \mathbf{p}), \quad f \in L^2(\mathcal{O}_{\check{k}}, d\check{\mathbf{q}}). \quad (75)$$

At this point, given the section $s' : \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P} \ni (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \mapsto (\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}/2, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \in \mathbb{H}'_n$ and setting $\check{k} = 1$, we have that the equation

$$P(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = U_1(s'(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})) \quad (76)$$

defines a square integrable projective representation P . Then, denoted by $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ the Fourier-Plancherel operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have:

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{z}) \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} = e^{i(\mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}})} = e^{\frac{i}{2} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}} e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}} e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}} = P(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}), \quad (77)$$

where we have identified $L^2(\mathcal{O}_1, d\check{\mathbf{q}})$ with $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We conclude this section with a further example. Let us consider the group

$$G = (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}) \times' (\mathbf{Q} \times (\mathbf{R} \times' \mathbf{A})),$$

where

$$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{B} = \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{R} = \mathbb{R}^n \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \mathbb{R}_*^+,$$

and the product is defined as follows. First, we specify that the subgroup $\mathbf{Q} \times (\mathbf{R} \times' \mathbf{A})$ of G is the direct product of $\mathbf{Q}$ with the $(n+1)$ -dimensional affine group $\mathbf{R} \times' \mathbf{A}$; thus, its composition law is given by:

$$(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}, a) (\mathbf{q}', \mathbf{r}', a') = (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}', \mathbf{r} + a \mathbf{r}', aa'). \quad (78)$$

Next, we define the action $(\cdot)[\cdot]$ of this group on the abelian normal factor $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$ of G by

$$(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}, a)[t, s, b, \mathbf{p}] = (t + \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}, a s + \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{p}, a b, \mathbf{p}). \quad (79)$$

At this point, one can easily check that the map $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}, a) \mapsto (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}, a)[\cdot]$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbf{Q} \times (\mathbf{R} \times' \mathbf{A})$ into the group of automorphisms of the normal factor of G . Thus, the product in G is well defined by the action $(\cdot)[\cdot]$ and is given explicitly by

$$(t, s, b, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}, a) (t', s', b', \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q}', \mathbf{r}', a') = (t'', s'', b'', \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}', r'', aa'),$$

where:

$$t'' = t + t' + \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}', \quad s'' = s + a s' + \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{p}', \quad b'' = b + a b', \quad \mathbf{r}'' = \mathbf{r} + a \mathbf{r}'.$$

Since the action of $\mathbf{Q} \times (\mathbf{R} \times' \mathbf{A})$ on $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$ is smooth, G is a $(3n+4)$ -dimensional Lie group. Using the pairing

$$(\check{t}, \check{s}, \check{b}, \check{\mathbf{p}}) \diamond (t, s, b, \mathbf{p}) = e^{i(\check{t}t + \check{s}s + \check{b}b + \check{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{p})},$$

one finds out that the dual action $(\cdot)[\cdot]^\sim$ of $\mathbf{Q} \times (\mathbf{R} \times' \mathbf{A})$ on the dual group $\check{\mathbf{T}} \times \check{\mathbf{S}} \times \check{\mathbf{B}} \times \check{\mathbf{P}} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ of the abelian normal factor of G is given by

$$(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}, a)[\check{t}, \check{s}, \check{b}, \check{\mathbf{p}}]^\sim = (\check{t}, a^{-1}\check{s}, a^{-1}\check{b}, \check{\mathbf{p}} - \check{t}\mathbf{q} - \check{s}a^{-1}\mathbf{r}). \quad (80)$$

Then the orbit $\mathcal{O}_x$, with respect to this action, passing through the point $x \equiv (\check{t}_0, \check{s}_0, \check{b}_0, \check{\mathbf{p}}_0) = (1, 0, 1, 0)$ of $\check{\mathbf{T}} \times \check{\mathbf{S}} \times \check{\mathbf{B}} \times \check{\mathbf{P}}$ is

$$\mathcal{O}_x = \mathbf{Q} \times (\mathbf{R} \times' \mathbf{Q})[1, 0, 1, 0]^\sim = (1, 0, \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

The action of $\mathbf{Q} \times (\mathbf{R} \times' \mathbf{A})$ on the orbit $\mathcal{O}_x$ is not free. Indeed, notice that the stabilizer of the point x is the subgroup $\mathbf{R}$. Hence, according to Mackey's theory, with any unitary character $\mathbf{R} \ni \mathbf{r} \mapsto e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, of $\mathbf{R}$

one can associate a unitary representation U of G in $L^2(\mathcal{O}, d\check{b} d\check{\mathbf{p}})$ defined by

$$(U(t, s, \dots, a) f)(\check{b}, \check{p}) = a^{1/2} e^{i(t+\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r})} e^{i(b\check{b}+\mathbf{p}\cdot\check{\mathbf{p}})} F(a\check{b}, \check{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{q}), \quad (81)$$

which is the representation induced by the unitary representation

$$(\check{t}_0, \check{s}_0, \check{b}_0, \check{\mathbf{p}}_0) \diamond (\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\cdot)}$$

of the subgroup $(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{B}) \times' \mathbf{R}$ of G in the 1-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}$. Then, one can verify easily the following facts:

- the direct product group $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{R}$ is a closed normal subgroup of G and the restriction of U to this subgroup is the scalar representation $(t, s, \mathbf{r}) \mapsto e^{i(t+\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r})} I$, hence $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{R}$ is U -central;
- the quotient group $X = G/(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{R})$ is isomorphic to the group $(\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q}) \times (\mathbf{B} \times' \mathbf{A})$, namely to the direct product of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with the (1+1)-dimensional affine group;
- a smooth section from X into G is given by

$$\mathbf{s} : X \ni (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, b, a) \mapsto (0, 0, b, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, 0, a) \in G;$$

- with this section is associated the projective representation $P_{\mathbf{s}} = U \circ \mathbf{s}$ of X , with multiplier $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{s}}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{s}}((\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, b, a), (\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q}', b', a')) = e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{p}'};$$

- the projective representation $P_{\mathbf{s}}$ is square integrable, as one can check defining the group $X_{\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{s}}}$ and studying its irreducible unitary representations, or, alternatively, observing that $P_{\mathbf{s}}$ is the tensor product of a square integrable projective representation of $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q}$ and a square integrable unitary representation of the (1+1)-dimensional affine group $\mathbf{B} \times' \mathbf{A}$;
- by the previous item, the irreducible unitary representation U is square integrable modulo the relatively central subgroup $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{R}$.

Observe that the U -central subgroup $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{R}$ is not central in G . Indeed, for instance, for $a \neq 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (0, 0, \dots, 0, a) (t, s, 0, 0, 0, \mathbf{r}, 1) (0, 0, \dots, a^{-1}) &= (t, a s, 0, 0, 0, a \mathbf{r}, 1) \\ &\neq (t, s, 0, 0, 0, \mathbf{r}, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Besides, X is not unimodular. In fact, one finds easily that the modular function Δ_X on X is given by

$$\Delta_X(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, b, a) = a^{-1}, \quad \forall (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, b, a) \in X. \quad (82)$$

Hence, according to Theorem 3, the Duflo-Moore operator $D_{U, \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{R}}$ is not bounded. Applying Corollary 2 of ref. [25] to the square integrable representation U_{P_s} of $X_{\mathbf{m}_s}$, one finds easily that, with a suitable normalization of the left Haar measure on X , $D_{U, \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{R}}$ is the multiplication operator in $L^2(\mathcal{O}, d\check{\mathbf{p}} d\check{b})$ by the function $(\check{\mathbf{p}}, \check{b}) \mapsto \check{b}^{-1/2}$.

References

- [1] S. T. Ali, J. P. Antoine, J. P. Gazeau, U. A. Mueller, “Coherent states and their generalizations: a mathematical, overview”, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **7** (1995) p. 1013.
- [2] S. T. Ali, J. P. Antoine, J. P. Gazeau, *Coherent states, wavelets and their generalizations*, Springer-Verlag (2000).
- [3] M. Duflo, C. C. Moore, “On the regular representation of a nonunimodular locally compact group”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **21** (1976), p. 209.
- [4] I. Daubechies, *Ten lectures on wavelets*, SIAM (1992).
- [5] M. W. Wong, *Wavelet transforms and localization operators*, Birkhäuser (2000).
- [6] R. Godement, “Sur les relations d’orthogonalité de V. Bargmann I. Résultats préliminaires”, *C. R. Acad. Sci.* **225** (1947), p. 521.
- [7] R. Godement, “Sur les relations d’orthogonalité de V. Bargmann II. Démonstration générale”, *C. R. Acad. Sci.* **225** (1947), p. 657.
- [8] J. Phillips, “A note on square-integrable representations”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **20** (1975), p. 83.
- [9] A. L. Carey, “Square-integrable representations of non-unimodular groups”, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **15** (1976), p. 1.
- [10] A. Grossmann, J. Morlet and T. Paul, “Integral transforms associated to square integrable representations I. General results.”, *J. Math. Phys.* **26** (1985), p. 2473.

- [11] A. Borel, *Représentations de groupes localement compacts*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 276, Springer-Verlag (1972).
- [12] A. Grossmann, J. Morlet and T. Paul, “Integral transforms associated to square integrable representations II. Examples”, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* **45** (1986), p. 293.
- [13] E. Schrödinger, “Der stetige Übergang von der Mikro- zur Makromechanik”, *Naturwiss.* **14** (1926), p. 664.
- [14] R. J. Glauber, “The quantum theory of optical coherence”, *Phys. Rev.* **130** (1963), p. 2529.
- [15] J. R. Klauder, “Continuous-representation theory I. Postulates of continuous representation theory”, *J. Math. Phys.* **4** (1963), p. 1055; “ II. Generalized relation between quantum and classical dynamics”, *ibid.*, p. 1058.
- [16] E. C. G. Sudarshan, “Equivalence of semiclassical and quantum mechanical description of statistical light beams”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **10** (1963), p. 277.
- [17] P. Aniello, “Square integrable projective representations and square integrable representations modulo a relatively central subgroup (II): examples and applications”, *in preparation*.
- [18] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, McGraw-Hill (1991), second edition.
- [19] S. A. Gaal, *Linear analysis and representation theory*, Springer-Verlag (1973).
- [20] N. Aronszajn, “Theory of reproducing kernels”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **68** (1950), p. 337.
- [21] V. S. Varadarajan, *Geometry of quantum theory*, Springer-Verlag (1985), second edition.
- [22] E. P. Wigner, *Group theory and its application to the quantum theory of atomic spectra*, Academic Press (1959).
- [23] G. B. Folland, *Real Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons (1984).
- [24] G. W. Mackey, “Induced representations of locally compact groups I”, *Ann. of Math.* **55**, (1951), p. 101.

- [25] P. Aniello, G. Cassinelli, E. De Vito, A. Levrero, “Square-integrability of induced representations of semidirect products”, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **10** (1998), p. 301.
- [26] D. M. Healy Jr., F. E. Schroeck Jr., “On informational completeness of covariant localization observables and Wigner coefficients”, *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995), p. 453.
- [27] S. T. Ali, “A general theorem on square-integrability: vector coherent states”, *J. Math. Phys.* **39** (1998), p. 3954.
- [28] S. Thangavelu, *Harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg group*, Birkhäuser (1998).
- [29] G. B. Folland, *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*, CRC Press (1995).
- [30] A. Perelomov, *Generalized coherent states and their applications*, Springer-Verlag (1986).